

ORDER SHEET  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH  
CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD

Criminal Bail Application No.S-488 of 2022

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
------	-------------------------------

For orders on office objection.  
For hearing of main case.  
17-10-2022

Mian Taj Muhammad Keerio advocate for applicant along with applicant, who is present on interim pre-arrest bail.

Ms. Rameshan Oad, Assistant Prosecutor General Sindh Inspector Gulsher Saryo PS Qasimabad.

Mr. Shakir Nawaz Shar advocate for complainant along with complainant.

**MUHAMMAD IQBAL KALHORO**, J:- Complainant, a doctor by profession, is Ex: wife of applicant. She has alleged in FIR reported at PS Qasimabad under sections 420 and 406 PPC that applicant has sold her Alto Suzuki Car bearing No.BTQ-452 Model-2021 fraudulently, which she had purchased from her salaries. After investigation the case was disposed of under 'C' class but the Magistrate did not accept report and ordered for reinvestigation. Even in reinvestigation, no involvement of applicant was found and the case was again recommended for disposal under 'C' class. However, this time the Magistrate took cognizance of offence. During hearing, SSP Hyderabad was called by this Court and directed to make further investigation and recover the Car. He in compliance directed Inspector Gulsher Saryo of PS Qasimabad, a senior official, for investigation. He is present and submits that so far no tangible evidence against the applicant in support of allegation has been found. He, however, has admitted that still the car has not been found and that CCTV Footages of the date of incident, subjected to forensic examination, have not revealed any incriminating material against the applicant. However, he has undertaken to continue with investigation and find the stolen car to present it before the trial Court within a month.

**2.** Citing above grounds learned defense counsel has pleaded for bail, opposed by the learned counsel for complainant and A.P.G. Sindh. Since I.O. has submitted that the custody of

applicant is not required, as so far no evidence connecting him with the case has been found, the case of further inquiry has been made out and the false implication of the applicant in such circumstances cannot be ruled out.

**3.** Accordingly, this application is allowed and the interim bail is confirmed on the same terms and conditions whereby he was granted ad-interim bail.

**4.** The observations made hereinabove are tentative in nature and shall not influence the trial Court while deciding the case on merits.

JUDGE

**\*Abdullah Channa/PS\***