

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

Cr.Bail.Appl.No.S- 222 of 2013

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
------	-------------------------------

For orders on MA 5067/2014.

07.07.2014.

Syed Muhammad Waseem Shah, Advocate for applicants.
=

Learned counsel does not press this bail application on the ground that applicants have been acquitted by the learned trial Court vide judgment dated 28.05.2014. Certified true copy of the said judgment has also been filed. Under these circumstances, the bail application is dismissed as not pressed alongwith listed application.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

C.P.No.S- 467 of 2014

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
-------------	--------------------------------------

07.07.2014.

Miss Rehana Nazeer Gujjar, Advocate for petitioner.
Mr. Ashfaqe Nabi Kazi, Assistant A.G. a/w SIP Muhammad Chagal on behalf of
SSP Hyderabad.

=

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at the instance of private respondents, the official respondents are causing harassment to the petitioner. Learned A.A.G. present in Court has filed comments on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2. In the comments, official respondents have denied that they have caused any harassment to the petitioner. They have further asserted in the comments that there is a family dispute going on between the parties. They have also undertaken that they will be providing due protection of law to the petitioner and would ensure that no harassment is caused to her. Such statement satisfies the counsel for the petitioner.

In terms of above, the petition stands disposed of with direction to the official respondents to provide protection to the petitioner as contemplated under the law.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

C.P.No.S- 498 of 2014

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
-------------	--------------------------------------

07.07.2014.

Mr. Inderjeet Lohano, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Ashfaq Nabhi Kazi, Assistant A.G. a/w SIP Muhammad Chagal on behalf of SSP, Hyderabad and ASI Manzoor Jamali on behalf of SHO Naseem Nagar.

=

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at the instance of private respondents, the official respondents are causing harassment to the petitioner. Learned A.A.G. present in Court has filed comments of respondent No.1 in which they have denied to have caused any kind of harassment to the petitioner. It has further been stated in the comments that there is a matrimonial dispute going on between the parties. In the comments however, respondent No.1 has undertaken to provide legal protection to the petitioner at the time of need, which has satisfied the counsel for the petitioner.

In terms of above, the petition stands disposed of with direction to the official respondents to provide protection to the petitioner and ensure that no harassment is caused to her.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

Cr.Misc.Appl.No.S- 438 of 2014

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
-------------	--------------------------------------

07.07.2014.

Mr. Raichand Harijan, Advocate files power on behalf of applicant.
Mr. Shahid Shaikh, A.P.G. for the State a/w SIP Hussain Bux Khoso, Additional
SHO PS Tando Ghulam Ali, LPC Amina and LPC Rehana PS Tando Ghulam Ali.

=

In terms of the earlier order dated 30.06.2014, the detainee Mst. Seema has been produced by respondent No.3. The detainee has been categorically asked as to whether she was illegally or improperly confined by private respondents, which she has denied. She has further asserted that she wants to live with the applicant being his legally wedded wife. She also further states that she had contracted marriage with applicant on her own free will which had made mischief to her relatives, on account of which they were not allowing her to lead her life with the applicant.

Be that as it may, since the detainee has categorically stated in Court that she has contracted marriage with applicant on her free will and accord and wants to live with him. I see no impediment to refuse her to lead her life with the applicant. Consequently, the detainee is allowed to lead her life with the applicant, as per her desire.

The Criminal Miscellaneous Applications stands disposed of in the above terms.

JUDGE

Tufail