## ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI

## R.A. No.132 of 2014

Date:	Order with signature of Judge	
1 For or	lors on office objection is at (A?	
	lers on office objection as at 'A'.	

2. For orders on CMA 7705/14

3. For hearing of CMA 7706/14

4. For hearing of main case.

## Dated: 23.10.2017

Mr. Abdul Waheed for applicant. None for respondents.

This Revision Application is filed against the concurrent findings of two Courts below. The applicant perhaps had filed suit for specific performance based on receipt dated 18.06.2002 issued by respondent No.2 who only happened to be brother of respondent No.1 who is owner of the property. Learned counsel when enquired as to what authority respondent No.2 had to dispose of this property on behalf of respondent No.1, he states that it is by only a verbal statement of respondent No.2 with respondent No.1 otherwise nothing is on record. There is no special Power of Attorney or any other document to show that he (respondent No.2) has been authorized to dispose of the property. There appears to be no case of misreading or non-reading of evidence or that the jurisdiction was exercised illegally by the Courts below. In the circumstances, the interference in terms of Section 115 CPC is not required. The revision application is accordingly dismissed along with listed applications.

Judge