

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR
Const. Petition No.D-1439 of 2024

a/w CP Nos.D-1442, 1443, 1446, 1468, 1481, 1482, 1483, 1484, 1577, 1578, 1579, 1588, 1589, 1590, 1591, 1592, 1593, 1594, 1595, 1596, 1597, 1598, 1599, 1600, 1601, 1602, 1613, 1614, 1615, 1616, 1635, 1648, 1651, 1653, 1657, 1666, 1674, 1675, 1676, 1677, 1682, 1686, 1704, 1714, 1718, 1318, 1440, 1576, and 1604 of 2024

Date	Order with signature of Judge
------	-------------------------------

1. For orders on office objection at flag `A`
2. For hearing of main case

05-11-2024

M/s Manzoor Hussain Larik, Ubedullah Malano, Muhammad Yaseen Khaskheli, Rukhsar Ahmed Junejo, Sohail Ahmed Khoso, Saeed Ahmed, Tanveer Abbas Shah, Badruddin Memon, Amir Ali Bhutto, Achar Khan Gabol, Abdul Naeem Pirzada, Hamid Ali Memon, and Wajid Ali Shaikh, Advocates for the petitioners

M/s Liaqat Ali Shar, Additional A.G and Zulfiqar Ali Naich
Assistant AG

a/w Zameer Hussain, Director Secondary School Sukkur,
Naeemullah XEN West Irrigation, Bachal Kanhar XEN East
Irrigation, Raza Hussain Qureshi, Deputy Director GMMC Hospital
Sukkur, Dr. Ali Gul on behalf DHO Sukkur, Nigar Sadiq AEN
Kotdiji, on behalf of XEN Ranipur

.....

While learned counsel for the petitioners are of the view that all these pending petitions could be decided in terms of detailed order passed by this court in CP No.D-1435 of 2023, dated 18.09.2023 and whereas in another bunch benefit of the said order was directed to apply to those bunch of petitions in mutatis mutandis. Counsel for the petitioners state that same treatment could have been met out to the petitioners. However, learned AAG states that let in the first instance comments of the respondents be filed. As this court has already allowed such petitions we do not see any merit in such a request in these circumstances.

Learned counsel for petitioners in CP No.D-1578/2024 files statement stating that mistakenly respondents No.9 to 24 have been added in the present petition, hence he does not press petition for petitioners No.9 to 24 and he wants to file a fresh petition on their behalf. Accordingly, present petition to the extent of petitioners No.9 to 24 is dismissed as not pressed.

Learned counsel for the petitioners in CP No.D-1481 of 2024 files statement stating that petitioner No.4 has gotten offer letter on disable quota

and mistakenly he was included as petitioner No.4, in the present petition. He prays for striking out his name from this petition. Order accordingly.

These petitions are thus disposed of in the same manner, mode and mechanism as adopted in CP No.D1435 of 2023 which order to apply mutatis mutandis to the instant petitions.

Office is directed to place a signed copy of this order in the captioned connected matters.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Suleman Khan/PA