

1

ORDER SHEET  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH CIRCUIT COURT LARKANA  
Const. Petition No. D- 1409 of 2014

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
------	-------------------------------

Hearing of Cases

1. For Hearing of M.A No. 6365/2014 (S/A)

2. For Hearing of main case.

29.08.2018

Mr. Habibullah G. Ghouri, Advocate for the petitioner.  
Mr. Abdul Rasheed Abro, Asstt: Attorney General.

-----

The instant petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking following relief:

(a) That this Honorable Court may graciously be pleased to issue a writ directing the Respondents to award admission to the petitioner on disabled quota basis and allow her to join the MBBS 2014-15 Session in CMC Larkana with immediate effect and declare the admission awarded to the Respondent No.5 Danish Haider as null and void and cancel the same.

(b) To issue writ of prohibition restraining the Respondents from filling the vacancy of petitioner and further direct the Respondents to allow the petitioner to join the classes and continue her studies.

2. It is the case of petitioner that in response to an advertisement for the admission in MBBS for Academic Session 2014-15 she applied for her admission in CMC Larkana on disable quota being suffering from Polio. She was found entitled for admission by the Medical Board on 13.11.2014. In support of her claim she has attached "selection list" allegedly affixed by the respondent college on notice board as Annexure 'A' of the memo of petition.

3. The respondents No.1 to 3 have filed their comments wherein they have categorically stated that the alleged selection list is a forged document.

It has also been stated that as many 26 candidates competed for solitary seat

of the disable quota and the respondent No 5 with score of 63.34% was at merit No.1 while petitioner with score of 62.04% was at merit No 2, as such, the respondent No.5 was selected vide letter dated 28.11.2014. It has also been stated in the comments that as per rule 12 (a) of the Prospectus Session 2014-15 a provision of appeal is provided for any grievance /complaint against the decision of Admission Committee before the Vice Chancellor but the petitioner did not exhaust such remedy.

4. Nothing has been brought on record by the petitioner in rebuttal of the comments filed by the respondents. The respondent No.5 appears to have been admitted by the respondents being meritorious candidate for the academic year 2014-15 on Disable Quota. Alternate remedy available to the petitioner has admittedly not been availed by the petitioner. We, therefore, dismiss this petition being devoid of any merit.

Abid H. Qazi/\*\*