ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR

Constitutional Petition No.D- 466 of 2023.

DATE OF	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
HEARING	

- 1. For orders on office objections at flag 'A'
- 2.For orders on M.A No. 6674/2024.
- 3.For hearing of main case

02.12.2024

None present for the petitioner.

Mr. Faiz Muhammad Shar, advocate for the respondents No.10 (1, 3, 4,6, 7, 9)

Mr. Syed Jafer Ali Shah, advocate for the respondents No.10(2, 5, 8 & 10.).

Mr. Bashir Ahmed Shar, advocate for the respondent NO.10(4).

Mr. Asfandyar Kharl, Asstt: A.G a/w Muhammad Saleem Shaikh, Chief Engineer, Education Works Sukkur.

None present for the petitioner. Learned Asstt: A.G submits comments on behalf of respondent No.11 under cover of statement dated 02.12.2024, taken on record. Mr. Bashir Ahmed Shar files vakalatnama on behalf of respondent No.10 (7), taken on record. Comments filed by the respondents NO.10 (1, 3, 4,6, 7, 9) are also taken on record. In their respective comments they have denied the allegations levelled by the petitioner under his petition. However, they submitted that prayers made under the petition are also not in consonance with rules, therefore, petition in hand can not be acceded to being beyond the law as well constitution.

We have gone through the file. As far as development work is concerned, UCs are not assigned the job to conduct or maintain such work. However, the work assigned to them for maintenance including

2

cleanliness of drainage system of UCs of Town Committees and street light

has been extended.

In view of the comments submitted by the official respondents,

seemingly the purpose of petition has been achieved. Accordingly same is

hereby disposed of along with pending applications. Respondents,

however, are under obligation to continue their job by providing necessary-

cum-basic amenities to the inhabitants of the respective area/jurisdiction

without causing any negligence or delay. A copy of order shall be

communicated to the office of Addl. A.G for correspondence and

compliance as well as to respondents No.1 to 3, 4, 5, 6 and 9 for

compliance.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Shabir/P.S