ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, LARKANA

C. P. No.D-2308 of 2010
C. P. No.D-2309 of 2010
C. P. No.D-2324 of 2010
C. P. No.D-2325 of 2010
C. P. No.D-2327 of 2010
C. P. No.D-2346 of 2010

DATE OF HEARING	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF HON'BLE JUDGE.

07.8.2014.

Mrs. Leela alias Kalpana Devi, advocate for petitioners in all the petitions except C. P. No.D-2346/2010.

Mr. Habibullah G. Ghouri, advocate for petitioners in C. P. No.D-2346 of 2010.
Mr. Abdul Hamid Bhurgri, Addl. Advocate General.

It is the case of the petitioners that they were successful in the examination which was held pursuant to the advertisement dated 09.6.2008, however, since the quota of 1200 seats was available, therefore, out of 3000 successful candidates only 1200 from the top of the merit were taken. Rest of the candidates though successful but could not meet the merit remained unemployed. It is thus the case of the petitioners that they may be considered on the basis of priority on subsequent recruitment. We are afraid, such benefit of priority on a subsequent/fresh recruitment cannot be given to these candidates, however, in case amongst those 1200 successful candidates if any one found to have resigned or not joined the service, only then the case of the rest of the candidates in terms of the merit list may be considered and given priority. However, in terms of the fresh recruitment/fresh appointment, such principle of priority in terms of fresh public notice, if made and fresh examination, if held, would prejudice the right of the fresh candidates who may be in terms of merit better than the petitioners.

With the above observations this petitions are disposed of alongwith all pending applications.