ORDER SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI

M. A. No.42 of 2007
M. A. No.43 of 2007

Date | Order with signature(s) of Judge(s)

For hearing of main case

19.04.2018

Mr. Saleem Ghulam Hussain, advocate
Mr. Qamaruddin, advocate for respondent No.1

Perhaps on account of having no instructions, the counsel Mr. Saleem Ghulam Hussain sent a notice for discharge of his vakalatnama to the appellant as observed on 10.03.2017. Copy of application and the notice sent to the appellant through courier is also available and attached with the application. Thereafter, on a number of occasions, notices were sent to the appellant and mistakenly to the counsel Mr. Saleem Ghulam Hussain. The notices were ordered to be repeated under Rule 50 of the Sindh Chief Court Rules, however, the appellant has not responded. The notices were issued on the address mentioned in the title of the appeal as disclosed by the appellant. It appears that the appellant is neither contacting his counsel, who has moved an application for discharge of his vakalatnama nor appearing in Court himself and apparently lost interest in the proceedings. Dismissed for non-prosecution.

JUDGE

Gulsher/PS

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH KARACHI

Miscellaneous Appeal No. 42 of 2007

Abdul Waseem.....Appellant

VERSUS

M/s NTN Cororation & another..... .....Respondents

For hearing of main case

.....

19.04.2018

Mr. Saleem Ghulam Hussain, advocate
Mr. Qamaruddin, advocate for respondent No.1

.....

Perhaps on account of having no instructions, the counsel Mr. Saleem Ghulam Hussain sent a notice for discharge of his vakaltnama to the appellant as observed on 10.03.2017. Coy of application and the notice sent to the appellant through courier is also available and attached with the application. Thereafter, on a number of occasions, notices were sent to the appellant and mistakenly to the counsel Mr. Saleem Ghulam Hussain as well. The notices were ordered to be repeated under Rule 50 of the Sindh Chief Court Rules, however, the appellant has not responded. The notices were issued on the address mentioned in the title of the appeal as disclosed by the appellant. It appears that the appellant is neither contacting his counsel, who has moved an application for discharge of his vakalatnama nor appearing in Court himself and apparently lost interest in the proceedings. Dismissed for non-prosecution.

Certified to be true copy,

Sd/-MUHAMMAD SHAFI SIDDIQUI
JUDGE

Assistant Registrar (Civil/Writ SB)

Miscellaneous Appeal No. 42of 2007. Karachi, dated: 21th April,2018.

Forwarded for information and compliance to:

The Registrar of Trade Marks, Karachi in Opposition No. 221 of 2002, (Reg. (ANASCO Traders, Karachi versus NTN Corporation) & in Opposition No. 973/2004, (Reg. NTN Corporation versus ANASCO Traders Karachi).

(Abdul Rasheed Baloch)
Assistant Registrar (Civil / Writ SB)