

25.9.2018
/28

Ashraf

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH KARACHI

Miscellaneous Appeal No. 2 of 2008

M/s. Pakistan Oil Mills (Pvt) Ltd.....Appellant

V E R S U S

Registrar of Trade Marks & anotherRespondents

For hearing of Main Case :

12.09.2018 : Mr. Asim Ahmed advocate holding brief for Mr. Naveed Ahmed Khan, advocate for the appellant.
Mr. Salim Ghulam Hussain, advocate for the respondents.

The appellant-company and their learned counsel were absent on the last three (03) dates of hearing and on the last date of hearing the matter was adjourned by way of last chance. When this matter was taken up today in the first round, Mr. Asim Ahmed advocate had appeared and made a request for adjournment on behalf of learned counsel for the appellant on the ground that he was busy before another Bench. In view of his continuous absence in this case as noted above, the matter was kept aside with direction to him to proceed with the same after recess at 11:00 am. Now in the second round request for adjournment has once again been made on his behalf which is not justified in the above background. This appeal is pending since January 2008, and the record shows that serious efforts have not been made on behalf of the appellant on any date of hearing to proceed with the same. In view of the above, the appeal is dismissed with no order as to costs.

Certified to be true copy,


Sd/- NADEEM AKHTAR
JUDGE


Assistant Registrar (Civil/Writ SB)

Miscellaneous Appeal No. 2/2008 Karachi, dated the 24th September, 2018.

Forwarded for information and compliance to:

❖ The Registrar of Trade Marks, the Trade Marks Registry, Government of Pakistan Plot No. CD-3, Behind KDA Civic Center, Gulshan-e-Iqbal in Opposition No. 19/2006. Regarding Pakistan Oil Mills (Pvt.) Ltd. versus Colgate Palmolive (Pakistan) Ltd.




(Abdul Rasheed Baloch)
Assistant Registrar (Civil / Writ SB)

TRADE MARKS REGISTRY
Govt. of Pakistan

25 SEP 2018

RECEIVED

de

1
0
of: p. 22



LIBRARY OF THE
UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN


RECEIVED

1912

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI

M.A. No.02 of 2008

Date	Order with signature of Judge
------	-------------------------------



For hearing of main case

Dated: 09.05.2018

None present.

Since last two dates appellant's counsel is called absent and so also today. As a last chance the matter is adjourned to a date to be fixed after vacation.

Judge

Hearing Case

In My Office Copy


IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH KARACHI

Misc. Appeal No. 02 of 2008

Date	Order with signature of Judge
------	-------------------------------

For hearing of Main Case :

12.09.2018 : Mr. Asim Ahmed advocate holding brief for Mr. Naveed Ahmed Khan, advocate for the appellant.
Mr. Salim Ghulam Hussain, advocate for the respondents.



The appellant-company and their learned counsel were absent on the last three (03) dates of hearing and on the last date of hearing the matter was adjourned by way of last chance. When this matter was taken up today in the first round, Mr. Asim Ahmed advocate had appeared and made a request for adjournment on behalf of learned counsel for the appellant on the ground that he was busy before another Bench. In view of his continuous absence in this case as noted above, the matter was kept aside with direction to him to proceed with the same after recess at 11:00 am. Now in the second round request for adjournment has once again been made on his behalf which is not justified in the above background. This appeal is pending since January 2008, and the record shows that serious efforts have not been made on behalf of the appellant on any date of hearing to proceed with the same. In view of the above, the appeal is dismissed with no order as to costs.


JUDGE