

21

ORDER SHEET  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, LARKANA  
C.P. No.D-1349 of 2015.

DATE OF HEARING	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF HON'BLE JUDGE
--------------------	---------------------------------------

1. For orders on office objection as Flag 'A'.
2. For Hearing of main case.

18.10.2017

Mr. Safdar Ali Ghouri, advocate for the petitioner along with petitioner.

Mr. Abdul Rasheed Abro, Asstt. Attorney General.

-.-.-.-.-

This petition has been filed by the petitioner with following prayers :

- a) *That this Honourable Court may graciously be pleased to declare that the petitioner is entitled for his appointment in National Bank of Pakistan in pursuance of Circulars (Annexure 'C' & 'D') being son of ex-employee of the National Bank of Pakistan, who had availed option under Voluntary Handshake Scheme-2001.*
- b) *That this Honourable Court may graciously be pleased to direct the respondents to issue appointment order of petitioner in the service of National Bank of Pakistan against 'Son Quota' in pursuance to their own Circulars (Annexure-'C' & 'D'), as well as taking into consideration his higher qualification (M.A Economics) and past experience of 03 years in NBP service as Go-down Chowkidar, without further loss of time.*

It is case of the petitioner that the father of the petitioner, namely, Nawab Ali son of Ghulam Hyder, was Officer in OG-III in the National Bank of Pakistan and he availed Voluntarily Handshake Scheme-2001; as such, he was relieved vide letter dated 27.02.2002 and thereafter the petitioner pursuant to the Circular dated 11.01.2010 applied for his appointment in National Bank of Pakistan on son quota and he was issued an Admit Card with Roll No.4357 but due to favoritism and nepotism, the nearest and dearest were appointed and the petitioner was denied.

In response to the contents of the petition, the respondents No.1, 2 & 3, have filed their comments wherein it has categorically been stated that the appointment of the petitioner was subject to test held by independent authority wherein the petitioner appeared but could not qualify. Along with comments the respondents have attached the copy of the list of successful candidates.

The fact that the petitioner failed in test has not been denied by the petitioner by filing any affidavit-in-rejoinder, therefore, there appears no merit in this petition, which is accordingly dismissed.