

ah

ORDER SHEET  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, LARKANA.  
1<sup>st</sup> Cr. Bail Applns. No. S- 371 of 419 of 2017.

---

Date	Order with signature of Hon'ble Judge
------	---------------------------------------

---

1. For orders on office objection as flag A.
2. For hearing of bail application.

15.01.2018.

Mr. Abdul Ghani Bijarani, advocate a/w applicants in Cr.B.A No.S-371/2017 and counsel for the complainant in Cr. B.A No.S-419/2017.

Mr. Mohsin Ali Pathan, advocate a/w applicants in Cr.B.A No.S-419/2017 and counsel for the complainant in Cr. B.A No.S-371/2017.

Mr. Khadim Hussain Khoonharo, Addl.P.G.

====

By this common order, the captioned two bail applications, arising out of Crime No.7/2017 U/S 324, 337-H(ii), 147, 148, 149 PPC of P.S Durani Mahar and Mehar and Crime No.08/2017 U/S 337-F(v), F(i), A(i), 452, 365-B, 511, 147, 148, 149 PPC respectively, are being disposed of which have been moved by the applicants in counter cases filed against each other.

In Cr. Bail Appln. No.S-371 of 2017, applicant Abdul Rehman is alleged to have fired at P.W Shahnawaz and P.W Shah Murad, who sustained injuries U/S 337-F(v) and F(i) respectively; and applicant Mehmood is also alleged to have fired at P.W Shah Murad but his medico legal certificate does not show any further injury except the one mentioned above.

In Cr. Bail Appln. No.S-419 of 2017, both the applicants have been alleged to have caused the lathi blows to the P.Ws Rehman, Shah Murad and Sadam. The injuries sustained by the P.W Rehman falls U/S 337-F(v) which carries punishment for 5 years and injury sustained by the P.W Shah Murad falls U/S 337-A(i) and F(i) which are punishable upto two years.


Learned counsel for the complainant in both these bail applications have extended no objection on the ground that the parties are negotiating with each other for compromise and more so the offence alleged against them does not carry punishment falling under prohibitory clause of Section 497(i) Cr.P.C.

ah

Learned A.P.G has also extended no objection to the confirmation of bail in the light of above. 61

Accordingly, the bail of the applicants in both the bail applications is confirmed on the above grounds and on the same terms and conditions whereby they were granted interim pre arrest bail vide orders dated 18.8.2017 and 06.9.2017.

Both these bail applications stand disposed of.

  
JUDGE 15/11/2018

Application in D/O Matter

- 1- For Orders On M.A No. 611/2021 (U/A)
  - 2- For Orders On M.A No. 612/2021 (561-A)
- 
- Accountant report as flax "B"