3

## ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, LARKANA 2<sup>nd</sup> Crl. Bail Appln. No.D-42 of 2018

	Da	te	of	
ŀ	lea	ari	ng	
10	01	2	01	ç

## ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

- 1. For orders on office objections.
- 2. For orders on M. A. No.247/18.
- 3. For orders on M. A. No.248/18.
- For hearing of bail application.

Mr. Safdar Ali G. Bhutto, advocate for applicants, along with applicants.

- Overruled.
- Granted.
- Granted subject to all just exceptions.
- 4. Applicants/accused Ghulam Shabir, Ghulam Abbas and Riaz Hussain, all by caste Magsi, seek protective bail in Crime No.176/2017, registered at Police Station A/Section, Shahdadkot, under Sections 386, 324, 506/2, 337-H(2), 337-A(i), 337-F(i), 148, 149, 504, PPC, read with Section 6/7 of Anti-Terrorism Act, 1997.

It is, *inter alia*, contended by the learned Counsel that the applicants were granted protective bail by this Court in Crl. Bail Application No.D-01/2018 vide order dated 02.1.2018 for 08 days to appear before the Anti-Terrorism Court, Larkana. In pursuance of that order, the applicants appeared before the Anti-Terrorism Court, Larkana and were granted ad-interim pre-arrest bail vide order dated 08.1.2018, however, when subsequently the challan in the case was submitted before the Anti-Terrorism Court, it was not accepted and returned to the SHO along with FIR for submitting before the Court having jurisdiction. The contention of learned Counsel is that since the challan has been returned by the Anti-Terrorism Court, the applicants would not be deemed to be on interim bail granted by the said Court and need some protection for appearing before the trial Court, where the challan is going to be submitted.

Without touching the merits of the case, the applicants are admitted to protective bail for 07 days from today upto 26.01.2018 subject to their furnishing a solvent surety in the sum of Rs.50,000/-

14

## ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, LARKANA 2<sup>nd</sup> Crl. Bail Appln. No.D-42 of 2018

Date of Hearing 19.01.2018

## ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

- 1. For orders on office objections.
- 2. For orders on M. A. No.247/18.
- 3. For orders on M. A. No.248/18.
- 4. For hearing of bail application.

Mr. Safdar Ali G. Bhutto, advocate for applicants, along with applicants.

- Overruled.
- Granted.
- Granted subject to all just exceptions.
- 4. Applicants/accused Ghulam Shabir, Ghulam Abbas and Riaz Hussain, all by caste Magsi, seek protective bail in Crime No.176/2017, registered at Police Station A/Section, Shahdadkot, under Sections 386, 324, 506/2, 337-H(2), 337-A(i), 337-F(i), 148, 149, 504, PPC, read with Section 6/7 of Anti-Terrorism Act, 1997.

It is, *inter alia*, contended by the learned Counsel that the applicants were granted protective bail by this Court in Crl. Bail Application No.D-01/2018 vide order dated 02.1.2018 for 08 days to appear before the Anti-Terrorism Court, Larkana. In pursuance of that order, the applicants appeared before the Anti-Terrorism Court, Larkana and were granted ad-interim pre-arrest bail vide order dated 08.1.2018, however, when subsequently the challan in the case was submitted before the Anti-Terrorism Court, it was not accepted and returned to the SHO along with FIR for submitting before the Court having jurisdiction. The contention of learned Counsel is that since the challan has been returned by the Anti-Terrorism Court, the applicants would not be deemed to be on interim bail granted by the said Court and need some protection for appearing before the trial Court, where the challan is going to be submitted.

Without touching the merits of the case, the applicants are admitted to protective bail for 07 days from today upto 26.01.2018 subject to their furnishing a solvent surety in the sum of Rs.50,000/-

M

(Rupees fifty thousand only) each and P.R bond in the like amount to the satisfaction of Additional Registrar of this Court.

Learned Counsel at this juncture has submitted that the surety furnished on behalf of the applicants in terms of order dated 02.1.2018 passed by this Court in Crl. Bail Application No.D-01/2018 is still intact and has not been withdrawn, therefore, the same surety may be accepted. Order accordingly. However the surety shall furnish a fresh affidavit and personal bond in the present application.

Bail application stands disposed of.

steel - 2 - 21 Let Py Dung

JUDGE 19-1-2019