ORDER SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR

C. P. No. S – 06 of 2020

Date of hearing Order with signature of Judge

Hearing of case

- 1. For orders on office objection No.1 at Flag-A
- 2. For hearing of main case

10.02.2020

Mr. Aamir Mustafa Kamario, Advocate for petitioner. Mr. Noor Hassan Malik, Assistant Advocate General Sindh.

.-.-.-

Through the instant Constitution Petition filed by petitioner Imam Dino against the respondents, following prayer is made:

> "a). That, this Honourable Court may be pleased to direct the respondent No: 3 to 5, not to create any sort of harassment to the petitioner and his family members at the instigation of private respondents No: 6 to 10.

> b). That this Honourable court may be pleased to direct respondent No.1 to provide legal protection to the petitioner and his other family members according to law, and further direct the respondent No: 3 & 4 not register any false criminal case against the petitioner and his family members, at the instigation of private respondents, further this Honorable court may kindly be pleased to direct the Respondent No.3 & 4 and private respondents not to interfere in the peaceful possession of the landed property of the petitioner.

> c). That this Honorable court may be pleased to grant any other equitable relief which has not been specifically prayed for, which this Honourable Court deems fit and proper in the circumstances of the above case."

2. Subject to the maintainability of the petition, notices were issued against the respondents as well as Additional Advocate General Sindh and

Additional P.G. Mr. Rukhsar Ahmed M. Junejo, Advocate filed power on behalf of the private respondents. Comments are filed by SSP Sukkur (respondent No.2), Sub-Divisional Police Officer, Site Area Sukkur (respondent No.3) and SHO, P.S Qadirpur Sukkur (respondent No.5). In the comments, SDPO, Site Area Sukkur has mentioned that necessary legal protection shall be provided to the petitioner as and when he approaches the police. SSP Sukkur, in his comments, has mentioned that no harassment whatsoever has been caused to the petitioner. As regards to the protection, it is stated that the same shall be provided to the petitioner and his family according to law. Assistant Advocate General submits that legal protection is the right of every citizen; the same shall be provided to the petitioner, if and when he approaches the concerned police.

3. In the view of statements filed by the officials respondents and undertaking given by the AAG, counsel for the petitioner does not press the petition more, and it is accordingly **disposed of**.

Abdul Basit

JUDGE