

**ORDER SHEET**  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI**

CP No. D-3542 of 2024

(Muhib Ali Unar & Reshma v Province of Sindh & others)

Date

Order with signature of Judge

**Before:-**

Mr. Justice Salahuddin Panhwar

Mr. Justice Adnan-ul-Karim Memon

**Date of hearing and order:- 30.10.2024**

Mr. Nadeem Ali Abbasi advocate for the Petitioners.

Mr. Mumtaz Ali Shah APG.

Mr. Jan Muhammad Khuro AAG along with ASI Qadir Bux Joyo IO  
PS Lashari District Larkana.

-----  
**ORDER**

**Adnan-ul-Karim Memon, J:** Petitioner Muhib Ali Unar and Reshma have approached this court, seeking direction to the police officials not to cause harassment to them at the behest of private respondents No. 9 to 13, they also seek annulment of FIR No. 32 of 2024 registered for offenses under section 452,365-B, 148,149 PPC of PS Lashari, District Larkana.

2. Petitioners are present with their counsel. It is inter alia submitted that Petitioner No.2 Mst. Reshma had contracted marriage with Petitioner No.1 Muhib Ali against the wishes of her parents. It is further contended that the father of the petitioner was unhappy with such a marriage and he approached SHO PS Lashari, Larkana where FIR No. 32 of 2024 registered for offenses under section 452,365-B, 148,149 PPC was lodged against petitioner No. 2. Petitioner No.1 apprehends that petitioner No.2 and his relatives may be arrested by the police in a false case. The petitioners relied upon the statement dated 25.06.2024.

3. Statement of Mst. Reshma has been recorded by the Investigating Officer wherein she claims that she is an adult and neither she has been abducted by anyone else nor coerced by petitioner No.1 however she has contracted valid marriage with petitioner No.2 and the FIR lodged by her father respondent No. 9 is false and fabricated one which may be quashed.

4. The learned AAG and APG submitted that the case in hand has been disposed of under C Class as such no further action is required on the part of this court.

5. We have heard the learned counsel for the parties present in court and perused the record with their assistance.

6. The petitioners, a married couple, were married against their parents' wishes. The wife's family filed a false police report against the husband, leading to fears of his arrest, which is illegal. The petitioners seek legal protection against potential harassment and unlawful demands. The police officers state that a final report has been submitted to the Magistrate for closure of the case. The complainant does not dispute this. The petitioner's lawyer requests that the Magistrate be directed to decide on the final report and that the police protect the petitioners regarding their marriage. The lawyer also requests that the complainant be restrained from harassing the petitioners.

7. The petition is disposed of with a direction to the investigating officer to inform the Magistrate about the Court's order to close the case. The Magistrate will then decide on the in terms of statement of the victim girl, the police must protect the petitioners and ensure they are not harassed.

8. In view of the above, this Constitutional Petition is disposed of.

JUDGE

JUDGE