IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

Cr. Bail A. No. S-786 of 2024

Imran Ali		Applicant
	Versus	
The State		Respondent

Irfan Ali Khaskheli, Advocate for the Applicant Sana Memon Assistant P.G a/w ASI Muhammad Khan

Date of Hearing : 21.10.2024.

ORDER

YOUSUF ALI SAYEED, J - Following the dismissal of his earlier bail Application by the learned Sessions Judge, Jamshoro, the Applicant has approached this Court under Section 497 Cr.P.C seeking post-arrest bail in respect of FIR No. 122 of 2024, registered against him at Police Station Jamshoro on 08.06.2024 under Sections 397 and 34 PPC.

- 2. Succinctly stated, the allegations set out in the FIR are that on 07.06.2024 at 1930 hours at Indus Highway Road leading towards Jamshoro Phattak near Youth Hostel Jamshoro, the Applicant and two other persons, one of whom was identified by name as Rizwan Brohi, robbed the complainant of a red coloured Honda CD/70 2024 model motorcycle, two mobile phones and cash in the sum of Rs.5000/-.
- 3. Learned counsel for the Applicant and the learned APG were heard and the record perused, with the following points coming to the fore:

- (a) The incident is said to have occurred on 07.06.2024 at 1930 hours whereas the report thereof was lodged next date (i.e 08.06.2024 at 1800 hours) with the only explanation for the delay being an approach to so called Nekmards.
- (b) While the FIR was registered at 1800 hours on 08.06.2024 the mother of applicant had preferred an application under Section 491 Cr.P.C before Sessions Judge Jamshoro @ Kotri i.e earlier that day, stating that the he had been picked up by the police from their residence the previous day (i.e 07.06.2024).
- (c) No incriminating recovery has been made from the Applicant and the only other offence in respect of which he has been implicated as per the learned APG was an FIR under Section 9(c) of CNS Act, registered subsequent to the FIR underpinning the present Bail Application.
- (d) No flight risk concerns were raised.
- (e) The alleged offences do not fall within the prohibitory clause of Section 497(1) Cr.P.C and the matter even otherwise appears to be one that requires further inquiry.
- 4. It is in view of those factors that the Application was then allowed vide a short Order made in Court upon culmination of the hearing on 21.10.2024, with bail being granted in the matter subject to terms.

JUDGE