

ORDER-SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT LARKANA Constt. Petition No. D- 223 of 2015.

Date of hearing

Order with signature of Judge

19.03.2015.

1. For orders on office objections.

2. For Katcha Peshi.

Mr. Mazhar Ali Bhutto, Advocate for petitioner. Mr. Naimatullah Bhurgri, State Counsel alongwith PSI Akhtar Hussain Burdi for SSP Larkana, SIP Abdul Ghafoor Chutto SHO P.S Rasheed Wagan and PC Jhando Khan of PS Rasheed Wagan.

Through the instant constitutional petition, the petitioner Mazhar Khan has prayed for the following relief (s):-

- (a) To direct the respondent No.1 not to cause harassment to petitioner and his family members without due course of law;
- (b) To direct the respondent No.1 not to register false cases against the petitioner and his family members and restrain the respondent No.1 not to take law in his own hands by violating legal boundaries of law and further restrain to him not create panic and painful situations for petitioner and his entire family;
- (c) To appoint any CSP Police officer to conduct fair inquiry regarding the commission of robbery from the house of the petitioner and his illegal detention and if during the inquiry guilt of the respondents will prove then further may be directed to respondent No.4 to take departmental as well as lawful action against the respondents accordance with law.

Notices were issued to the respondents, as well as A.A.G. Learned D.P.G present in the Court also waives notice of this petition.

In the comments filed by the respondents, it is stated that case bearing Crime No.23/2014 for offence under Sections 457, 380 P.P.C





has already been challaned. Learned Advocate for the petitioner that the police officials are causing harassment to the petitioner. Police officers present in Court state that they have neither caused harassment to petitioner, nor he will be harassed in future. Counsel for petitioner submits that SHO has refused to register F.I.R against police. Counsel for the petitioner submits that neither he has approached the SHO nor to the concerned Sessions Judge/ Ex-Officio Justice of Peace. Learned counsel for petitioner would be at liberty to approach to the SHO concerned in the first instance in accordance with law. In these circumstances, learned Advocate for petitioner does not press this petition more and it is disposed of accordingly.

Judge

Judge 3. 2015