IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD

Cr. Jail Appeal No.D-201 of 2010

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

For regular hearing.

14.04.2017

Syed Meeral Shah Bukhari, D.P.G

=

Appellant is present but his Counsel is called absent.

To come up on 19.04.2017 with caution to the Counsel. In the meanwhile, call for fresh Jail Roll.

JUDGE

JUDGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD

Cr. Jail Appeal No.D-165 of 2010

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

For regular hearing.

14.04.2017

Mr. Aijaz Shaikh, Advocate for appellants. Syed Meeral Shah Bukhari, D.P.G

=

Appellants Muhammad Ibrahim and Saleh are present. Learned Advocate for appellants submits that after suspension of the sentence, the appellants were released and he could not inform them about the date of hearing. Appellants are directed to be careful in future.

Adjourned to 04.05.2017 for proceeding with the appeal. In the meanwhile, notice shall be issued to the complainant.

JUDGE

JUDGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD

Cr. Appeal No.D-75 of 2009

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

For regular hearing.

14.04.2017

Appellant Muhammad Usman present in person. Syed Meeral Shah Bukhari, D.P.G

=

Appellant Muhammad Usman was tried by learned Sessions Judge/Special Judge (CNS) Mirpurkhas in Special Case No.20 of 2008. By judgment dated 01.06.2009, the appellant was convicted under Section 9(c) Control of Narcotic Substances Act, 1997 and sentenced to 02 years R.I with payment of fine of Rs.5000/-, in case of default in payment of fine, the appellant was ordered to suffer S.I for 03 months more. Benefit of Section 382(B) Cr.P.C was extended to him.

Appellant preferred the instant appeal against his conviction and sentence recorded by the Trial Court. During the pendency of the appeal, Jail Roll was called. Superintendent Central Prison, Hyderabad vide his letter dated 21.04.2015 has reported that convict/appellant has already been released from the prison on 21.11.2009 on the completion of his sentence by getting 240 days remission. Notice was issued to the appellant to ascertain whether he is still contesting the appeal or not. Appellant present in Court submits that he has already completed his sentence, therefore, he does not want to contest the appeal.

We have perused the evidence and the impugned judgment and assistance of learned D.P.G and came to the conclusion that the Trial Court on the basis of sufficient and huge evidence had convicted the appellant and

sentenced him as stated above, therefore, the impugned judgment requires no interference. Since the appellant Muhammad Usman has been released from the jail on the completion of the sentence, the appeal has become infructuous. Appellant is no more interested to proceed with the appeal and since the appeal has become infructuous, it is accordingly disposed of.

JUDGE

JUDGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD

Cr. Appeal No.D-88 of 2008

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

For regular hearing.

14.04.2017

Syed Meeral Shah Bukhari, D.P.G

=

Appellant is called absent.

Mr. Shakir Ali Talpur, Advocate holds brief for Syed Tarique Ahmed Shah, Advocate for appellant and states that Syed Tarique Ahmed Shah is unwell. Repeat notice to the appellant and his surety through concerned SHO. In case of non-service of notice, SHO shall appear in person.

To come up on 02.05.2017.

JUDGE

JUDGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD

Cr. Appeal No.D-178 of 2006

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

For regular hearing.

14.04.2017

Mr. Tahir Hussain A. Memon, Advocate for surety. Syed Meeral Shah Bukhari, D.P.G

=

Reply of the show-cause notice issued to the surety is submitted.

Surety Muhammad Ibrahim Panhwar is present, he is directed to make efforts for producing the appellant before this Court on the next date

Adjourned to 03.05.2017.

JUDGE

JUDGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD

Cr. Spl. ATA Appeal No.D-135 of 2004

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

For regular hearing.

14.04.2017

Syed Meeral Shah Bukhari, D.P.G

=

Mr. Shakir Ali Talpur, Advocate holds brief for Syed Tarique Ahmed Shah, Advocate for appellant and states that Syed Tarique Ahmed Shah is unwell. It may be mentioned here that this appeal pertains to the year 2004.

Adjourned as a last chance. Notice shall also be issued to the complainant.

JUDGE

JUDGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD

Cr. Appeal No.D-05 of 2016

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

For hearing of MA-5514/2016

14.04.2017

Mr. Amjad Ali Sahito, Special Prosecutor ANF

=

Mr. Jamil Ahmed Rajper, Advocate submits that he is no more Counsel for appellant Ameer Bux Bhatti as he has been superseded by Ms.Nasira Shaikh but Ms.Nasira Shaikh is called absent today.

Adjourned. Intimation notice to Ms.Nasira Shaikh. Office shall delete the name of Advocate Mr.Jamil Ahmed Rajper from the file cover.

JUDGE

JUDGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD

Cr. Appeal No.D-87 of 2014

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

For hearing of MA-7142/2014

14.04.2017

Syed Meeral Shah Bukhari, D.P.G

=

Mr. Nisar Ahmed Rana, Advocate for appellant is called absent. Same was the position on the last date of hearing. Notice was issued to the appellant through the Superintendent Central Prison, Hyderabad with direction that appellant shall ensure the presence of his Counsel, else appropriate orders shall be passed. Appellant has sent a written request for providing time to ensure the presence of his Counsel Mr.Nisar Ahmed Rana for proceeding with the appeal. Today, Mr. Nisar Ahmed Rana has not appeared. Adjourned as a last chance, else it is made clear that Counsel on state expenses shall be provided to the appellant to proceed with the appeal.

To be fixed after four weeks. Let the copy of this order be sent to the Superintendent Central Prison, Hyderabad for compliance.

JUDGE

JUDGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD

Cr. Jail Appeal No.D-94 of 2016

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

For hearing of MA-7630/2016

14.04.2017

Mr. Ghulamullah Chang, Advocate for appellant. Syed Meeral Shah Bukhari, D.P.G

=

Heard Mr.Ghulamullah Chang, learned Advocate for the appellant and Syed Meeral Shah Bukhari, learned D.P.G. For the reasons to be recorded later on, appeal is allowed. Conviction and sentence recorded by the Trial Court vide judgment dated 09.08.2016 are set-aside. Appellant is in custody. He shall be released forthwith, if he is not required in some other case.

JUDGE

JUDGE