IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD

Cr. Bail Appln. No.D-45 of 2016

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

- 1. For orders on office objections.
- 2. For hearing.

31.03.2017

Mr. Altaf Sachal Awan, Advocate alongwith applicants. Syed Meeral Shah Bukhari, D.P.G

=

Applicants are present on interim pre-arrest bail. It is pointed out that case has proceeded before the Trial Court. Counsel seeks time to place on record certified copies of depositions of prosecution witnesses examined before the Trial Court.

Adjourned to 15.05.2017 for confirmation or otherwise. Notice shall also be issued to the complainant.

JUDGE

JUDGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD

Cr. Bail Appln. No.D-125 of 2015Cr. Bail Appln. No.D-127 of 2015

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

31.03.2017

Syed Meeral Shah Bukhari, D.P.G

=

Applicants/accused Aseem Akhtar, Naveed Parvez and Akhlaque Ahmed are present on interim pre-arrest bail.

Mr. Shakir Ali Talpur, Advocate holds brief for Syed Tarique Ahmed Shah, Advocate for applicants in Cr.Bail Application No.125/2015 and states that latter Syed Tarique Ahmed Shah is busy before another bench.

Adjourned to 24.04.2017 for confirmation or otherwise.

JUDGE

JUDGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD

Cr. Bail Appn. No.D-16 of 2016 Cr. Bail Appln. No.D-113 of 2015

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

31.03.2017

Mr. Nisar Ahmed S. Chandio, Advocate for applicant in Cr.Bail Application No.D-113/2015.

Syed Meeral Shah Bukhari, D.P.G

=

Mr. Altaf Ahmed Shahid Abro, Advocate holds brief for Mr.Inam Ali Malik, Advocate for complainant in Cr.Bail Application No.D-16/2016 and states that latter Mr. Inam Ali Malik is busy before another bench.

Adjourned to 24.04.2017.

JUDGE

JUDGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD

Cr. Bail Appln. No.D-10 of 2015

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

- 1. For orders on office objections.
- 2. For hearing.

31.03.2017

Mr. Bhagwan Das Bheel, Advocate for applicant. Mr. Samiullah Rind, Advocate for complainant. Syed Meeral Shah Bukhari, D.P.G

=

Learned Advocate for applicant/accused submits that daughter of applicant/accused has expired.

Adjourned to 25.04.2017 for confirmation or otherwise. Applicant/accused shall be in attendance on the next date.

JUDGE

JUDGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD

Cr. Bail Appln. No.D-113 of 2014

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

- 1. For orders on office objections.
- 2. For hearing.

31.03.2017

Mr. Imran Ali Tunio, Advocate alongwith applicant. Syed Meeral Shah Bukhari, D.P.G

=

Applicant/accused is present alongwith his Counsel. On the last date of hearing directions were issued to the applicant to submit copy of the order whereby case has been transferred from learned Sessions Court, Hyderabad to the Court of ordinary jurisdiction. Counsel for applicant seeks time. Time is allowed. It is also pointed out that presently case is pending in the Court of VIIth Additional Sessions Judge, Hyderabad. Call for progress report from the Trial Court. Bail application is pending since 2014. In case Counsel for the applicant failed to argue the bail application on the next date, interim pre-arrest bail already granted to the applicant/accused shall be recalled.

Adjourned to 25.04.2017.

JUDGE

JUDGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD

Cr. Bail Appln. No.D-59 of 2012

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

- 1. For orders on office objections.
- 2. For hearing.

31.03.2017

Syed Meeral Shah Bukhari, D.P.G Mr. Lutufullah Arain, D.A.G

=

Applicants/accused Aneel Kumar, Muhammad Umer and Gul Mir are called absent. Let in the first instance, notice be issued to the applicants/accused as well as their surety.

Adjourned to 24.04.2017.

JUDGE

JUDGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD

Cr. Jail Appeal No.D-50 of 2009 Cr. Jail Appeal No.D-51 of 2009

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

31.03.2017

Mr. Ashfaque Ali Khaskheli, Advocate for appellant Ramzan Syed Meeral Shah Bukhari, D.P.G

=

Syed Tarique Ahmed Shah and Ms.Parveen Chachar Counsel for the appellants are called absent.

Adjourned to 24.04.2017. However, notice shall also be issued to the complainant.

JUDGE

JUDGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD

C.P.NO.D-735 OF 2017

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

- 1. For orders on MA-3363/2017
- 2. For orders on office objections.
- 3. For orders on MA-3364/2017
- 4. For katcha peshi.

31.03.2017

Petitioner Mst.Saeedan present in person.

=

- 1. Granted.
- 2. Deferred for the time being.
- 3. Granted subject to all just exceptions.
- 4. Notice to the respondents as well as D.A.G for 17.04.2017.

JUDGE

JUDGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD

C.P.NO.D-573 OF 2017

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

- 1. For orders on office objections.
- 2. For katcha peshi.

31.03.2017

Mr. Abdid Ali Arain, Advocate for petitioner. Mr. Allah Bachayo Soomro, Additional A.G

=

Learned A.A.G appeared on behalf of respondent No.1 and seeks time for filing of the comments. Notices have already been issued to respondents No.2 to 7, which are not returned served or un-served. Repeat notices for 15.05.2017.

JUDGE

JUDGE

ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE				
1.					
2.					
3.					
31.03.2017					
	Mr.				
	Mr.				
	=				

JUDGE

JUDGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD

C.P.NO.D-57 OF 2017

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

- 1. For orders on office objections.
- 2. For katcha peshi.
- 3. For hearing of MA-265/2017

31.03.2017

Mr. Mian Taj Muhammad Keerio, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Ayatullah Khawaja, Advocate for respondent No.4.

Mr. Allah Bachayo Soomro, Additional A.G a/w ASI Muhammad Ayaz Mari of P.S Khipro.

=

Counsel for petitioner once again seeks time to satisfy the Court about the maintainability of the petition. Time is allowed. Comments are filed on behalf of respondent No.2, which are taken on record.

Adjourned.

JUDGE

JUDGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD

C.P.NO.D-05 OF 2017

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

- 1. For orders on office objections.
- 2. For katcha peshi.
- 3. For hearing of MA-195/2017

31.03.2017

Mr. Mian Taj Muhammad Keerio, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Allah Bachayo Soomro, Additional A.G

=

On the requests of the Counsel for petitioner, adjourned to 15.05.2017. Comments filed by learned A.A.G on behalf of respondents No.5 and 6 are taken on record.

JUDGE

JUDGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD

C.P.NO.D-2798 OF 2016

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

- 1. For orders on office objections.
- 2. For katcha peshi.

31.03.2017

Mr. Sajid Ali Soomro, Advocate for petitioner. Mr. Allah Bachayo Soomro, Additional A.G

=

Learned A.A.G filed comments on behalf of respondent No.3. Let the copy be supplied to the other side.

Adjourned to 02.05.2017.

JUDGE

JUDGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD

C.P.NO.D-2724 OF 2016

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

For katcha peshi.

31.03.2017

Mr. Allah Bachayo Soomro, Additional A.G Syed Meeral Shah Bukhari, D.P.G

=

Mr. Bhagwan Das Bheel, Advocate holds brief for Mr.Abdul Hameed Bajwa, Advocate for petitioner and states that latter Mr.Bajwa is busy before another Bench.

Adjourned.

JUDGE

JUDGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD

C.P.No.D- 871 of 2017.

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

- 1. For orders on MA 3900/17
- 2. For orders on office objection.
- 3. For orders on MA 3901/17
- 4. For katcha peshi
- 5. For orders on MA 3920/17

31.03.2017

Mr. Ayatullah Khuwaja, advocate for petitioner.

=

- 1. Granted.
- 2. Deferred for the time being.
- 3. Granted subject to all just exceptions.

4&5. Learned advocate for petitioner inter-alia contended that allotment of the quarter No.A-3 of Agricultural Engineering Workshop Colony Tando Jam has been allotted to the petitioner, but possession of official accommodation has not been handed over to the petitioner by the respondents for the malafide reasons. It is further contended that harassment is being caused to the petitioner without any legal justification. However, subject to the maintainability of the petition, let notice be issued to the respondents as well as A.A.G for 05.4.2017.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Ahmed/Pa

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD

C.P.No.D-868 of 2017.

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

- 1. For orders on MA 3904/17
- 2. For orders on office objection.
- 3. For orders on MA 3905/17
- 4. For katcha peshi

31.03.2017

Mr. Shoukat Ali Kaka, advocate for petitioner.

=

- 1. Granted.
- 2. Deferred for the time being.
- 3. Granted subject to all just exceptions.
- 4. Let notice be issued to the respondents, A.A.G and D.P.G as well as complainant Mst.Nazia except respondent No.8 Mst.Mahnaz for a date to be fixed in the 2nd week of May, 2017.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Ahmed/Pa

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD

Cr. Appeal No.D-268 of 2010

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

For regular hearing.

31.03.2017

Syed Meeral Shah Bukhari, D.P.G

=

Appellant Shafique was tried by Special Judge for CNS, Sanghar in Crime No.63/2010 of P.S Shahdadpur for offence under Section 9(b) Control of Narcotic Substances Act, 1997. By judgment dated 14.07.2010 appellant was convicted under Section 9(b) Control of Narcotic Substances Act, 1997 and sentenced to 02 years R.I and to pay a fine of Rs.10,000/-, in case of default in payment of fine, he was ordered to suffer S.I for 02 months more. Appellant was expended benefit of Section 382(B) Cr.P.C

Jail Roll of appellant is received today from the Superintendent District Prison, Sanghar, in which it is mentioned that appellant was convicted on 14.07.2010 and was released from prison on 02.04.2011 after getting total remission earned 334 days and fine of Rs.10,000/- was paid by appellant/accused on 26.03.2011. It appears that several notices have been issued to the appellant/accused but he never appeared to pursue/contest the appeal. It is reported by SHO P.S Shahdadpur that appellant has shifted to some unknown place.

In the view of above, there is no justification to keep this appeal pending more. Learned D.P.G has assisted the Court and read out the prosecution evidence. The impugned judgment dated 14.07.2010 suffers from no infirmity, the same is maintained. Since the appellant did not appear to contest it and he has been released, appeal is accordingly disposed of.

JUDGE

JUDGE