

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI
Criminal Bail Application No. 599 of 2024
(Shakeel Ahmed versus The State)

Date	Order with signature of Judges
------	--------------------------------

For hearing of bail application

23.04.2024

M/s. Qazi Inamullah and Babur Ishaq, advocates for the applicant
Mr. Abrar Ali Khichi, Addl. PG for the State

It is alleged that the applicant with one more culprit robbed complainant Wasim Ali of his motorcycle, it was driven away by his accomplice while the applicant was apprehended at the spot with his motorcycle and from him was secured unlicensed pistol by the passerby public and police personnel, for that the present case was registered.

The applicant on having been refused by learned XIII-Additional Sessions Judge Karachi East has sought for the same from this Court by making the instant bail application u/s 497 Cr.PC.

It is contended by learned counsel for the applicant that the applicant is dealing with sale of hill sand and is being involved in false cases by the police personnel off and on, on account of his failure to pay them *Bhatta*; therefore, he is entitled to be released on bail on point of further inquiry, which is opposed by learned Addl. PG for the State by contending that the applicant is hardened criminal of the area.

Heard arguments and perused the record.

The applicant is named in FIR with specific allegation that he with his accomplice went over to the complainant and robbed him of his motorcycle; it was driven away by his accomplice, while he was

apprehended at the spot by the passerby public and police personnel with his own motorcycle, which he allegedly used in commission of incident. On arrest from the applicant has also been secured the unlicensed pistol of 30 bore which he was having at the time of incident. In that situation, it would be premature to say that the applicant being innocent has been involved in this case falsely by the police. The complainant is an independent person; he apparently is having no enmity with the applicant to have acted against him at the instance of police. The applicant is having a criminal record; it would be premature to say that all the cases registered against him by the police are false. There appear reasonable grounds to believe that the applicant is guilty of the offence with which he is charged and no case for his release on bail is made out.

In view of the above, the instant bail application is dismissed.

J U D G E