

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR**

**Constitution Petition No. S-34 of 2024**

DATE OF HEARING	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
-----------------	-------------------------------

1. For orders on CMA No. 115/2024 (U/A)
2. For orders on office objection at flag 'A'
3. For orders on CMA No. 116/2024 (Ex/A)
4. For orders on CMA No. 117/2024 (S/A)
5. For hearing of main case

**26.02.2024**

Mr. Muhammad Nasir Malik, Advocate for applicant

\*\*\*\*\*

1. Urgency is allowed.
  2. Deferred for the time being.
  3. Granted subject to just all exceptions.
- 4&5. Heard leaned counsel for the petitioners and perused the impugned order dated 22.01.2024 passed by the 1<sup>st</sup> Rent Controller Sukkur.

It appears from the impugned order that there is no denial of relationship in between petitioner No.2, and respondent No.1, as tenant and landlord. Share of the respondent No.1, has also not been denied and after such observation the Rent Controller has directed the petitioner No.2, to deposit future Rent before the Nazir of the court on 10<sup>th</sup> of every month to the extent of share of respondent No.1, only with further directions to the Nazir to determine the share of respondent No.1.

The observations made by the learned 1<sup>st</sup> Rent Controller in the impugned order found to be speaking one, correct as well as in accordance with law hence, no illegality or any infirmity has been committed. Resultantly, instant petition being meritless stands dismissed in limine.

**J U D G E**