

**ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI**

H.C.A. No.80 of 2024

M/s Garibsons (Pvt.) Ltd.

Versus

Faran Sugar Mills Ltd.

Date	Order with signature of Judge
------	-------------------------------

1. For orders on CMA 492/24
2. For orders on office objection a/w reply as at "A"
3. For orders on CMA 493/24
4. For hearing of main case
5. For orders on CMA 494/24

Dated: 29.02.2024

Mr. Zohaib Z. Sarki for appellant.

-.-.-

Heard the counsel and perused record.

By virtue of impugned order not only the application for rejection of plaint was dismissed, learned Single Judge was of the view that the contempt application would require evidence and hence could only be resolved once the parties would lead the evidence. The learned Single Judge has not outrightly rejected or dismissed the contempt application. Similarly, the matter was also adjourned sine die and appellants should not have been aggrieved of it as they are enjoying interim order which is extended even in the impugned order. In fact if someone is aggrieved of it, it should be respondents who were condemned unheard while the injunction application was adjourned sine die while extending interim order.

In view of above, we do not feel it appropriate to interfere in the impugned order. Instant High Court Appeal is accordingly dismissed in limine along with listed applications.

Judge

Judge