

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT,
HYDERABAD.**

Criminal Bail Application No.S-930 of 2023

Applicant : Ahmed through Mr. Sher Khan Chandio, Advocate.
Complainant : Through Mr. Abdul Salam Chandio.
State : The State through Mr. Imran Ahmed Abbasi,
Assistant Prosecutor General, Sindh.

Criminal Bail Application No.S-1030 of 2023

Applicant : Hajjan through Mr. Ghulam Ali Mughal, Advocate.
Complainant : Through Mr. Abdul Salam Chandio.
State : The State through Mr. Imran Ahmed Abbasi,
Assistant Prosecutor General, Sindh.
Date of hearing : **15.01.2024**
Date of Order : **15.01.2024**

O R D E R

AMJAD ALI SAHITO, J:- Both the captioned bail applications arises out of same incident and Crime, therefore, same are being disposed of by this single order.

2. Through Cr. Bail Application No.S-930 of 2023, the applicant Ahmed seeks his pre-arrest bail in Crime No.128 of 2023 registered under Section 354-A, 337-A(i), 337-F(i), 504 PPC at Police Station B-Section Dadu, after his bail plea was declined by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-IV, Dadu vide order dated 22.08.2023. Whereas, the applicant Hajjan through Cr. Bail Application No.S-1030 of 2023 seeks his post-arrest bail in Crime No.128 of 2023 registered under Section 354-A, 337-A(i), 337-F(i), 504 PPC at Police Station B-Section Dadu, after his bail plea was declined by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-IV, Dadu vide order dated 13.09.2023

3. The details and particulars of the F.I.R. are already available in the bail application and F.I.R., same could be gathered from the copy of F.I.R. attached with such application, hence needs not to reproduce the same hereunder.

4. Learned counsel(s) for the applicant(s) submits that there was a simple matrimonial dispute between the parties but the complainant has lodged false F.I.Rs against the applicant(s). Whereas, learned counsel for the complainant has filed affidavit wherein he has raised no objection in favour of applicant(s). However, the complainant present affirms the contents of said affidavit. On the other hand, in view of the no objection rendered by the complainant, learned A.P.G has also raised his objection to the grant of bail to the applicant(s).

5. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and perusing the material available on record it appears that though there was matrimonial dispute between the parties, however, in view of the above facts no objection rendered by the complainant, who is present in Court, both these Cr. Bail Applications are allowed. Resultantly the interim pre-arrest bail granted to the applicant Ahmed in Cr. Bail Application No.S-930 of 2023 vide order dated 25.08.2023 is hereby confirmed on same terms and conditions while the applicant Hajjan in Cr. Bail Application No.S-1030 of 2023 is hereby granted post arrest subject to furnishing his solvent surety in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- [Rupees One Hundred Thousand] and P.R bond in the like amount to the satisfaction of learned trial Court.

Both Cr. Bail Applications stand disposed of in the above terms.

JUDGE

Hafiz Fahad