## ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, BENCH AT SUKKUR

Crl. Appeal No. S-118 of 2023 (*Iqrar Shah and another Vs. The State*)

## DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

- 1. For Orders on office objection.
- 2. For hearing of MA No. 6599/2023 (Ex./A)
- 3. For hearing of MA No. 6600/2023 (426 Cr.P.C)
- 4. For hearing of main case.

## 20-02-2024

Mr. Muhammad Ali Napar, advocate for the appellants. Mr. Shafiq Ahmed Leghari, advocate for the complainant. Sardar Ali Shah Rizvi, Additional P.G General.

It is contended by learned counsel for the appellants that the aggregate sentence with fine awarded to the appellants being **four years** is short one; they were enjoying the concession of bail at trial and disposal of their appeal is likely to take time on account of heavy pendency; therefore, they are entitled to be released on bail by suspending the operation of impugned sentence, which is opposed by learned Additional P.G for the State and learned counsel for the complainant by contending that the offence which the appellants have committed is affecting the society at large and hearing of appeal would take no time.

-.-.-

Heard arguments and perused the record.

Admittedly, the aggregate sentence awarded to the appellants being four years is short one; they were enjoying the concession of bail at trial and hearing of appeal is likely to take time; therefore, while relying upon the case of *Abdul Hameed Vs. Muhammad Abdullah and others (1999 SCMR 2589),* the operation of the sentence awarded to the appellants is suspended and they are directed to be released on bail, subject to their furnishing surety in sum of **Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty thousand)** each and PR bond in the like amount to the satisfaction of Additional Registrar of this Court.

Listed application is disposed of accordingly.

Adjourned to be fixed for hearing of main appeal, after preparation of paper book within four week time.