ORDER SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI

Crl. Misc. Appl. No.325 of 2024

(Mst. Talat Parveen v. The State and others)

Date

Order with signature of Judge

For hearing of main case

21.05.2024

Mr. Hashmat Khalid, advocate for the applicant

Ms. Seema Zaidi, Additional Prosecutor General for the State

=======

It is contended by learned counsel for the applicant that a

cognizable offence has taken place, yet learned Vth -Additional Sessions

Judge/ Ex-Officio Justice of Peace, Karachi, Central, has declined to

direct SHO PS Samanabad to record her statement for FIR vide

impugned order; same being illegal is to be set aside by this Court with

direction to said SHO to record a statement of the applicant for FIR,

which is opposed by learned Additional Prosecutor General for the

State by contending that no incident as alleged by the applicant has

taken place and she intends to involve the proposed accused in the false

case for her ulterior motives.

Heard arguments and perused the record.

As per the impugned order, there appears dispute between the

parties over the business transaction and no cognizable offence has

taken place; such a finding is based on record; the same could not be

overlooked. If the applicant has a feeling that a cognizable offence has

taken place, and for that, her FIR is not being recorded by said SHO then she has an alternate and adequate remedy to exhaust by filing a direct complaint. No illegality is noticed in the impugned order which may justify this Court to interfere with the same.

Consequent to the above discussion, the instant Crl. Misc. Application u/s 561-A Cr. PC; it is dismissed.

JUDGE

Nadir*