ORDER SHEET

THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, BENCH AT SUKKUR.

Before:

Justice Adnan-ul-Karim Memon Justice Muhammad Abdul Rehman

Const. P. 1691/2017 Ali Jan Panhwar v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 468/2018 Asif Ali Kalahoro v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 848/2018 Asadullah Shar v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 1145/2018 Athar Ali v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 1609/2018 Sarfraz Ali v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 1681/2018 Zubair Ahmed v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 1725/2018 Abdul Wahab Ansari v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 1760/2018 Hunain Raz Ansari v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 1902/2018 Waqar Ahmed v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 36/2019 Abdul Razak Shaikh v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 1135/2019 Shakeel Ahmed v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 1214/2019 Ashique Ali v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 1261/2019 Muhammad Aamir Ghunio v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 1429/2019 Mohsin Ahmed Solangi v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 1800/2019 Mashooque Ali Rajper v. PO Sindh Const. P. 186/2020 Saleem Ahmed Khoso v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 674/2020 Waseem Abbass Buriro v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 974/2020 Zeeshan Ali v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 1027/2020 Aaqib Ali Shahani v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 1270/2020 Sohail Aziz v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 1553/2020 Kashif Masih v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 104/2021 Sagar Ali Lashari v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 466/2021 Pervez Ali Shah v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 598/2021 Abdul Qadir Chandio v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 617/2021 Asif Ali Rattar v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 1056/2021 Adnan Ali Mangi v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 1089/2021 Shan Ali Shaikh v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 1090/2021 Hussain Bux Shaikh v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 1146/2021 Mazheruddin Koondher v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 1332/2021 Hussain Bux Kalwar v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 1779/2021 Wazir Ali Chachar v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 357/2022 Mst. Seema v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 373/2022 Sajjad Ali Jarwar v. PO Sindh Const. P. 788/2022 Tahir Ali Shaikh v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 886/2022 Mumtaz Ali v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 1232/2022 Ayaz Hussain v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 1360/2022 Aaqib Abro v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 1363/2022 Ghulam Hyder Dreho v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 1552/2022 Lashkar Khan v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 1591/2022 Siraj Ahmed Dharejo v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 1608/2022 Zeeshan Raza Mangi v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 128/2023 Ali Raza Burdi v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 202/2023 Raza Rabbani v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 221/2023 Ali Baz Mangi v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 712/2023 Sameer Raheel v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 867/2023 Imdad Ali Lakho v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 1180/2023 Mst. Marvi v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 1271/2023 Saindad Kalhoro v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 1336/2023 Adil Miskeen Larik v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 1379/2023 Aafaq Ahmed Abbass iv. PO Sindh

Const. P. 1423/2023 Rashid Ahmed Bhanbhro v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 1737/2023

Sanaullah v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 1793/2023

Tanveer Ahmed v. PO Sindh

Const. P. 149/2024

Sabit Ali Shar v. PO Sindh

M/s Irshad Hussain Dharejo, Achar Khan Gabol, Khan Muhammad Sangi, Riaz Ali Shaikh, Abdul Raheem Mahar, Abdul Salam Shaikh, Zubair Ahmed, Shahid Ali Memon, Ubedullah Malano, Yaseen Ali Ghunio, Shafique Ahmed Leghari, Saeed Ahmed, Ali Gul Abbassi, Abdul Naeem Pirzda, Khuda Bux Chohan, Niazuddin Memon, Abdul Ahad Buriro, Sheeraz Fazal, Rehmat Ali Shaikh, Shewak Ram Valeecha, Altaf Ahmed Ansari, Mansoor Ali Maitlo, Ghulam Mujtaba Jakhar, Muhammad Nawaz Qazi, Saleem Ahmed, Sikandar Ali, Shabbir Ali Bozdar and Ali Akber Advocates for the petitioners.

Mr. Sanwan Khan Jagirani, legal Advisor SIDA.

Mr. Liaquat Ali Shar along with Malik Dino Mallah, DFO Sukkur, Lal Muhammad Mahar, Assistant Engineer S.B Bund Sub division Sukkur. SIP Ali Murad Narejo on behalf of SSP Khairpur.

Date of hearing & order: 14-05-2024

ORDER

.-.-.-.

Adnan-ul-Karim Memon, J. The petitioners/family members/legal heirs of the deceased civil/public servants of Government of Sindh have come forward and raised their voices of concern about the reluctance of the official respondents, in their respective petitions, to appoint them under the quota reserved for deceased civil/public servants as per policy/guidelines of the Government of Sindh, issued from time to time, therefore, the captioned petitions are being taken up together for disposal as the common question of law and facts are involved therein.

2. Through instant petitions under Article 199 of the Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan, the petitioners/family members/ legal heirs of the deceased civil/public servants have prayed that the competent authority of official respondents in all petitions be directed to expedite the procedure for their appointment to different ministerial posts against quota reserved for deceased employees and/or who were declared invalidated or incapacitated for further service, under policy/guidelines of Government of Sindh and/or under Rule 11-A of Sindh Civil Servants (Appointment, Promotion, and Transfer) Rules, 1974.

2. Learned Counsel for the petitioners in all petitions have argued that the petitioners' fathers/mothers passed away during service; that upon his/her/their death, he/she/they applied to the different departments of the Government of Sindh and since then no decision has been taken by the concerned department for his/her/their appointment on the quota reserved for deceased employees and/or who were declared invalidated or incapacitated for further service on a suitable ministerial post(s) as per his/her/their qualification and eligibility. As per learned counsel, in some of the cases, they have been discriminated and their have been erroneously regretted the cases by competent authority/respondent departments despite court orders in their favor. In support of their contention, they heavily relied upon the policy/guidelines of the Government of Sindh and/or under Rule 10-A, 11-A of Sindh Civil Servants (Appointment, Promotion, and Transfer) Rules, 1974 and argued that the petitioners/family members/legal heirs of the deceased civil/public servants are entitled to be considered by the competent authority for the different posts and/or any suitable post under law. They lastly prayed for allowing their respective petitions as prayed.

3. Learned A.A.G./law officers representing the respondent departments initially resisted these petitions on the premise that the petitioners/family members/ legal heirs of the deceased civil/public servants did not apply in time for the subject posts, as such their case could not be considered for appointment, however, we confronted the legal position of the case; and, several cases, decided by this Court on the subject issue, they in principle agreed for disposal of these petitions accordingly. However, they submitted that the case of petitioners for appointment on quota reserved for deceased employees if applicable in their cases may be referred to the competent authority of the Government of Sindh for consideration in the light of the policy/quidelines of the Government of Sindh and/or under Rule 10-A, 11-A of Sindh Civil Servants (Appointment, Promotion, and Transfer) Rules, 1974, subject to the exception made therein and more particularly in the light of order dated 10.08.2016 passed by the Supreme Court in C. P. No. 482-K & 503-K of 2016.

4. The proposal, as put forward by the learned A.A.G./law officers representing the respondent departments, seems to be fair enough; and, the learned counsel representing the petitioners has no cavil to the aforesaid proposal and seeks disposal of the aforesaid petitions on the same analogy.

5. We have heard the learned counsel for the parties present in court, perused the record, and also examined the stance of the parties on the subject issue through their comments if any. However, in most of the cases, the respondents have failed and neglected to file comments to justify their action by not considering the cases of petitioners based on deceased quota reserved for those employees who died during service and /or invalidated/incapacitated for further service. We have noticed that in some cases the office has fixed the matter for nonprosecution, but the learned AAG has waived notice and seeks disposal of those cases in terms of the ratio of order dated 10.08.2016 passed by the Supreme Court in C. P. No. 482-K & 503-K of 2016. Be that as it may, we are only concerned whether the petitioners/family members/ legal heirs of the deceased civil/public servants are entitled to be considered for the subject posts under the law.

6. To clarify the legal position that has emerged in the present cases, we first take up the legal issue of appointment in various departments of the Sindh Government through policy decisions as well as under Rule-10-A & 11-A of Sindh Civil Servant (Appointment, Promotion, and Transfer Rules, 1974).

7. After a thorough examination of rule position, we have noted that Rule 10-A & Rule 11-A until 30th July 2011, published on 01.09.2011 was as follows:

"10-A.Notwithstanding anything contained in these rules, where a civil servant dies while in service or is declared invalidated or incapacitated for further service, one of his unemployed children or, as the case may be widow (when all the children of the deceased employee are minor) may be employed against a post meant for initial appointment in BPS16 and 17 for

which he/she possesses the minimum qualifications prescribed to that post; Provided that such child or widow may be given ten additional marks in the aggregate by the Sindh Public Service Commission or the appropriate Selection Board or Committee if he or she otherwise qualifies the test, examination or interview; Provided further that a person who may have applied under this rule and qualifies purely on merit shall not be awarded any additional marks and his selection shall be made on merit and not under this rule; Provided further that the cut of date shall be within two years of the death of the officer or official.

11-A. Where a civil servant dies while in service or is declared invalidated or incapacitated for further service, one of his/her children or, as the case may be, widow (when all the children of the deceased employee are minor) shall be provided job who applies within a period of two years of death or declaration of invalidity of incapacity of a civil servant on any of the basic pay scales No.1 to 15 in the Department where such civil servant was working; 4 Provided that such appointment shall be made after fulfillment of formalities as required in the requirement rules and holding interview, for the post applied for; provided further that the cut of date shall be within two years of the death of the officer or official"

8. The third proviso of Rule 10-A, as well as the second proviso of Rule 11-A, specifically provides a cutoff date for making the application for appointment under the deceased employees' quota within 2 years of the occurrence of the death of the Government Official. Through a further Notification dated 16.09.2014, two further provisos were added in Rule 10-A and 11-A and they are as follows:-

"1. Under Rule 10-A, after the third proviso, the following fourth proviso shall be added: - "Provided further that if a right of employment has already accrued to any of the children of deceased or invalidated or incapacitated civil servant then the former shall not be deprived of the benefit accrued to him under Notification dated 11.03.2008 and 17.07.2009 of these rules."

2. Under Rule 11-A, after the second proviso, the following third proviso shall be added: - "Provided further that if a right of employment has already accrued to any of the children of deceased or invalidated or incapacitated civil servant then the former shall not be deprived of the benefit accrued to him under Notifications dated 11.03.2008 and 17.07.2009 of these rules"

9. We are also cognizant of the fact that the Supreme Court in C. P. No. 482-K & 503-K of 2016 vide order dated 10.08.2016 has held that the above two provisos added by Notification dated 16.09.2014 omit the application of Notifications dated 11.03.2008 and 17.07.2009 to those candidates under the above quota whose right of employment has already occurred.

- 10. In the Notification dated 17.07.2009, the cutoff date for making the application for employment under the above quota was provided as 17.07.2009. It is clear from the Notification dated 16.09.2014 that the clog of two years for making the application for employment under the deceased quota for the children who have already applied for employment before making this rule was done away, therefore the respondents cannot make this excuse to refuse the appointment as they are required to go through the order of the Supreme Court as discussed supra and make up their mind to consider the candidature of the petitioners strictly under law and decision made by the Supreme Court on the subject issue, we hope that this excuse will not be repeated and will be unacceptable.
- 11. In our view public employment is a source of livelihood; therefore, no citizen shall be discriminated against in the said matter on the grounds as provided under Article 27 of the Constitution. The government is bound to make certain quotas in appointments or posts in favor of any less privileged class of citizen which in the opinion of the government is not adequately represented in the services under the state. That's why Rule 11-A of Sindh Civil Servants (Appointment, Promotion, and Transfer) Rules, 1974 as amended up-to-date is introduced to cater to that situation to accommodate the aforesaid categories of civil servants.
- 12. Primarily, the purpose of making beneficial policies like in the case in hand about appointment against deceased quota is to minimize the miseries of the family of the deceased on the death of a serving employee has to face in society. However, by introducing such a policy a citizen cannot be deprived of his/her protected rights. Under Article 35 of the Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973, the State is under obligation to protect the family of the deceased. Therefore, any policy that violates guaranteed rights cannot be sustained. If such a policy is approved, it will amount to defeat another constitutional guarantee provided under Article 34 of the Constitution. It is an inalienable right of every citizen to have the protection of the law and also to be treated and dealt with under the

law with the particularity that no one can take action against him/her detrimental to his/her life and liberty and cannot be prevented from an act which is not prohibited by law.

- 13. In the light of the above discussion, it is crystal clear that the respondents- /Government of Sindh has to make recruitment to every post applied by the candidates under the law as discussed supra as well as based on invalidated or incapacitated/minority/differently-abled and deceased quota reserved for those employees by issuing appointment order by invoking either Rule 11-A of Sindh Civil Servants (Appointment, Promotion, and Transfer) Rules, 1974 or policy/guidelines of Government of Sindh on the subject issue.
- 14. Prima facie, the plea of learned A.A.G./law officers present in court is tenable in the light of the verdict of the Supreme Court given on 10.08.2016 in C.P. No. 482-503- K of 2016. Accordingly, the aforesaid petitions are disposed of in the following terms:
 - i) Petitioners/family/ one of the legal heirs of the deceased civil/public servants shall submit their application along with supporting material/documents to the Chief Secretary, Government of Sindh, through any recognized courier service on or before 30.05.2024, for scrutiny and consideration and decision through a speaking order on or before 27.05.2024 strictly under the law and the prescribed rules, procedure and policy, and after providing the opportunity of hearing to the petitioners.
 - ii) Offer letters shall be issued the deserving to candidates/petitioners if their case for appointment on deceased quota as well as based on invalidated or incapacitated for further service quota, is approved by the Chief Secretary/competent authority where after petitioners shall complete all legal and codal formalities required under the law and the relevant rule, procedure, and policy.
 - iii) Petitioners' case if not approved by the competent authority, may seek their remedy, if any, before the competent forum under the law.
 - iv) The cases of those petitioners/family members /legal heirs of

deceased civil public servants already regretted on any account are required to be re-considered by the competent authority, in the light of the dicta laid down by the Supreme Court and observation recorded in the preceding paragraphs.

- v) The compliance report in the above terms shall be filed by the Chief Secretary, Government of Sindh, through learned A.A.G. with the Additional Registrar of this Court.
- 15. Let notice be issued to the Chief Secretary Sindh, Government of Sindh, and concerned head of the departments along with a copy of this order for its compliance in letter and spirit.
- 16. By consent, the above petitions are disposed of in the above terms with no order as to costs.

Judge

Judge

Irfan/PA