

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI
Crl. Revision Application No.60 of 2024
(*Nida Shahzad/Nida Shamim v.The State and another*)

| | |
|------|-------------------------------|
| Date | Order with signature of Judge |
|------|-------------------------------|

1. For order on office objection (Flag A)
2. For hearing of main case

08.05.2024

Mr. Basam Ali Dahri, advocate for the applicant
Mr. Khurram Lakhani, advocate for the respondent No.2
Ms. Amna Ansari, Asstt. PG for the State

=====

The applicant by way of the instant Crl. Revision Application has impugned the order dated 30.01.2024 whereby a complaint filed by her for the prosecution of the private respondent for defamation was dismissed.

It is contended by learned counsel for the applicant that the learned trial Court has passed the impugned order in a slipshod manner without application of judicial mind, therefore, it is to be set aside by this Court, which is opposed by learned Assistant PG for the State and learned counsel for the private respondent by supporting the impugned judgment.

Heard arguments and perused the record.

The learned trial Court while dismissing the complaint of the applicant has validly observed that:

“This court is of the view that such defamatory remarks in divorce deed are not sufficient to dilute her character in front of her family members because the conduct of her in-laws was in well knowledge of her family members. She herself claimed during evidence that once she came to her parents home after dispute with her husband and in laws which gives a sense that her family members were well aware about the situation and circumstances. It is a usual practice in our society that whenever one partner blames to his or her partner, the parents opt to take his/her side

rather than of other side in blame game which means that whatever the allegation and remarks made against her in divorce deed are presumed to be have created no effect upon her family members, thus these remarks cannot be treated as defamatory statement.

No illegality is noticed in the impugned order which may justify this court to interfere with the same by way of instant Crl. Rev. Application; it is dismissed accordingly.

J U D G E

Nadir