IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI

Criminal Bail Application No.694 of 2023

Applicant : Muhammad Muzammil Hashmi S/o

Mansoor Ahmed Hashmi

Through Mr. Rizwan Khan, Advocate

Complainant : Qaiser Iqbal S/o Muhammad Asghar

None present.

Respondent : The State

Through Mr. Abrar Ali Khichi Addl. Prosecutor General, Sindh

Date of hearing : 17.08.2023

Date of order : 17.08.2023

ORDER

AMJAD ALI SAHITO, J – Through the instant bail application, applicant/accused seeks pre-arrest bail in Crime No.121/2023 u/s. 489-F PPC registered at PS Korangi, after his bail plea has been declined by 8th Additional Sessions Judge, Karachi East vide order dated 24.03.2023.

- 2. The details and particulars of the FIR are already available in the memo of bail application and FIR, which can be gathered from the copy of FIR attached with the application, hence, needs not to reproduce the same hereunder.
- 3. Learned counsel for the applicant files a Statement, which is taken on record. He submits that due to intervention of *notables*, the matter has been patched up between the parties, as such, compromise application has been filed before the trial Court where the matter is already fixed on 21.08.2023. Therefore, the pre-arrest bail of the applicant may be confirmed.
- 4. Learned Addl. P.G. raises no objection for confirmation of bail to the applicant/accused in view of the compromise between the parties.
- 5. Heard the parties and perused the material available on record.
- 6. Since the parties have settled their dispute and the compromise application in this regard has also been moved before

the trial Court which shall be decided on 21.08.2023 being the next date. The statement filed by the learned counsel for the applicant also confirms the same. Accordingly, the instant bail application is **allowed**. The pre-arrest bail granted to the applicant vide order dated 30.03.2023 is hereby confirmed. Applicant/accused is directed to attend the trial as and when required. However, it is made clear that if the applicant/accused misuses the concession of bail, learned trial Court would be at liberty to take appropriate action.

7. Needless to mention here that the observations made hereinabove are tentative in nature and would not influence the learned trial Court while deciding the case of the applicant on merits.

JUDGE

Kamran/PA