## ORDER SHEET

## IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR

Cr. Bail App. No. S - 456 of 2023

Date of hearing Order with signature of Judge

## For hearing of bail application

- 1. For orders on office objection at Flag-A
- 2. For hearing of bail application

## 21.08.2023

Mr. Mansoor Hussain Maitlo, Advocate for applicant. Mr. Shafi Muhammad Mahar, Deputy Prosecutor General.

.-.-.-.

Muhammad Iqbal Kalhoro, J. – As per FIR, applicant purchased twenty (20) motorcycles from complainant against Rs.16,00,000/- (*Rupees sixteen lac*), of which he gave him Rs.1,00,000/- (*Rupees one lac*) in cash and a cheque of Rs.15,00,000/- (*Rupees fifteen lac*), which on presentation in the bank was dishonoured. Thereafter, complainant approached applicant for money but he refused, hence, this FIR.

2. Applicant is called absent and his Counsel submits that he is not feeling well, but no such proof has been presented. He further submits that in fact applicant had given money of the cheque to complainant, but has failed to point out any substance in proof of his statement.

3. On the other hand, learned Deputy Prosecutor General submits that there is, *prima facie*, sufficient evidence against the applicant.

4. I have considered the arguments. It goes without saying that the relief of pre-arrest bail is extra ordinary and can be extended to a person who, apparently, on the fact of record, has been implicated falsely in a non-bailable offence to save him from arrest which otherwise is requirement of law in such offence. Against applicant, sufficient evidence is available in the shape of dishonoured cheque and more so in the investigation, he has been found guilty of the offence; hence, no case for pre-arrest bail is made out. Accordingly, instant bail application is **dismissed**.