

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, BENCH AT SUKKUR

CrI. Bail Application No.S- 779 of 2023
(*Hakim Ali Tumrani v. The State*)

Mr. Humail Rafi Mahesar, Advocate for applicant.
Mr. Kashif Hussain Shaikh, Advocate for complainant.
Mr. Shafi Muhammad Mahar, Deputy P.G for the State a/w ASI Akhtiar Hussain I.O, P.S, Kotdiji.

Date of Hearing & Order: **22-12-2023**

ORDER

MUHAMMAD IQBAL KALHORO, J.: Complainant is a car showroom owner and allegedly sold three cars, two Toyota GLI and one Cultus Suzuki to applicant against Rs. 75,00,000/-. Against which, he issued a cheque of Rs. 60,00,000/- to complainant, which on presentation in the bank for encashment was dishonoured. Hence, this FIR.

2. It is alleged that against applicant so many cases of similar nature have already been registered and in one case he has been convicted. Learned defence counsel submits that in all cases he has been granted bail except in this case. I.O is present and submits that applicant was already in jail when he had recorded his arrest in present case on 28.02.2023. Report of the trial Court shows that witnesses remain present before it for evidence. Be that as it may, since Challan has been submitted and applicant in all cases reportedly has been granted, learned counsel for complainant and learned DPG submit that if applicant furnishes a surety of Rs.10,00,000/-, they would have no objection.

3. Accordingly, on the aforesaid grounds and the fact that applicant is in jail and no more required for further investigation, he is admitted to post-arrest bail subject to his furnishing a solvent surety in the sum

of **Rs.10,00,000/- (Ten Lac)** with P.R bond in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court. Trial Court is further directed to not grant adjournment to any party and proceed with the matter expeditiously and conclude the trial within a period of two months. The observations made hereinabove are tentative in nature and shall not influence the trial Court while deciding the case on merits.

JUDGE

Ahmad