

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH KARACHI

Cr. Bail Application No.834 of 2023

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGES
-------------	---------------------------------------

For hearing of Bail application

1. For orders on MA No. 5521/2023 (urgent)
2. For orders on MA No. 5222/2023 (exemption)
3. For hearing of bail application

14.04.2023:

Mr. Imtiaz Hussain, Advocate a/w applicant
===

1. Urgency granted.
2. Exemption granted subject to all just exceptions.
3. Applicant Hameedullah son of Idrees, seeks protective bail in Crime No.1113/2023 registered under section 406 PPC at PS Iqbal Town, Lahor, Karachi. Learned counsel contends that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely involved in this crime by the complainant with ulterior motive and malafide intention.

Without touching merits of the case, the applicant is granted Fourteen (14) days protective bail subject to his furnishing a surety of Rs.20,000/- (Twenty thousand) and P.R Bond in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Nazir of the Court. This order shall cease to have its effect on 28.04.2023 or when the applicant surrenders before the concerned Court whichever is earlier.

Cr.Bail Application stands disposed of.

J U D G E

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH KARACHI

Crl. Misc. Application No. 605 of 2022

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGES

Priority Cases

1. For orders on MA No. 4194/2023 (urgent)
2. For orders on MA No. 12174/2022 (exemption)
3. For Hg of Main Case
4. For hearing of MA No. 12175/2022 (stay)

14.04.2023:

Mr. Riaz Ahmed Bhatti, Advocate for the Applicant
Mr. Ashfaq Rafiq Janjua, Advocate for the Respondent No.1
Mr. Talib Ali Memon, Addl. P.G.

.....

1. Granted.
2. Granted subject to all just exceptions.
- 3-4. Learned counsel for the Applicant has argued that the learned IV Additional Sessions Judge/Ex-Officio Justice of Peace, Karachi East, has passed the impugned order dated 04.10.2022, which is out of its jurisdiction. Mr. Bhatti further argued that the incident occurred on the Super Highway and the application under Section 22-A Cr.P.C. should have been filed before the Sessions Judge, Malir.

I have gone through the impugned order with the assistance of learned Addl. P.G. I find nothing unlawful in the order. As far as the jurisdiction is concerned, the Inspector General of Police Sindh who has been directed through the impugned order to personally monitor compliance of the matter, may himself determine which police station has jurisdiction in the matter. The I.G. Police is not bound by any order if he reaches the conclusion that a cognizable offence has not been committed and shall form his final opinion without being influenced by any manner by any order passed.

Criminal Misc. Application stands disposed of along with listed applications.

J U D G E

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH KARACHI
Const. Petition No. S-361 of 2023

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGES

Fresh Case

1. For order on CMA No. 2884/2023 (urgent)
2. For order on office objection Nos.1
and reply of counsel thereon as at A
3. For order on CMA No. 2885/2023 (exemption)
4. For order on CMA No. 2886/2023 (O XXXIX Rules 1 & 2)
5. For hearing of Main Case

14.04.2023:

Moulvi Iqbal Haider, Advocate for the Petitioners
.....

1. Urgency granted.
2. Deferred.
3. Granted subject to all just exceptions.

4-5. Notice has not been issued to Prosecutor General office, however, the matter is such that Mr. Talib Ali Memon, Addl. P.G. present in Court has waived notice. The only grievance that the Petitioners have is that a series of FIRs have been lodged against them and their family members in different police stations of Sindh. Seven of such FIRs are listed in para-5 at page 9 of the petition. Learned counsel submits that he will be satisfied if the police would only disclose to him as to whether there are any other FIRs pending against the Petitioners and the Petitioners and that their families who are being continuously intimidated, harassed and inconvenienced by the police and the complainants of those FIRs should be restrained from doing so. Let notice be issued to the Inspector General of Police Sindh, however, his presence is not required and the requisite information may be furnished through the Prosecutor General office. The official respondents are directed to act in accordance with law and not become party of a dispute between the Petitioners and the private Respondents. Relist on 15.05.2023.

J U D G E

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH KARACHI

Const. Petition No. S-360 of 2023

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGES

Fresh Case

1. For order on CMA No. 2900/2023 (urgent)
2. For order on office objection Nos.1,3 & 6
and reply of counsel thereon as at A
3. For order on CMA No. 2876/2023 (exemption)
4. For order on CMA No. 2877/2023 (199)
5. For hearing of Main Case

14.04.2023:

Mr. Ishfaque Ahmed, Advocate along with Petitioners

.....

1. Urgency granted.
2. Deferred.
3. Granted subject to all just exceptions.

- 4-5. Learned counsel is put on notice to satisfy this Court that the Petitioner girl is 18 years of age. He seeks time. Time granted. To come up after three weeks.

J U D G E

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH KARACHI

Crl. Misc. Application No. 657 of 2022

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGES

Fresh Case

1. For orders on office objection at A
2. For orders on MA No. 13102/2022 (exemption)
3. For Hg of Main Case

14.04.2023:

Mr. Talib Ali Memon, Addl. P.G.

.....

None is present for the Applicant. None has appeared in this case since its inception. I have gone through the impugned order with the assistance of Addl. P.G. I find no illegality in the same. Crl. Misc. Application stands dismissed along with listed application.

J U D G E

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH KARACHI

Crl. Acquittal Appeal No. 451 of 2021

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGES

For orders as to non-prosecution at A
(Notice issued for 14.04.2023)

14.04.2023:

None present
Inspector Noor Alam, P.S. Shahrah-e-Faisal

.....

This case was filed on 16.06.2021. Since that date nobody has appeared in this case. SHO P.S. Shahrah-e-Faisal has reported that the Appellant has been served, yet no one has effected appearance. It appears that the purpose for which this appeal was filed has been fulfilled. Dismissed for non-prosecution.

J U D G E

Amjad PS

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH KARACHI

Crl. Bail Application No. 813 of 2023

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGES

Hearing of Bail Application

1. For orders on MA No. 4421/2023 (urgent)
2. For orders on MA No. 4422/2023 (exemption)
3. For Hg of Bail Application

14.04.2023:

Mr. Saifullah Advocate for the Applicant

.....

1. Granted.
2. Granted subject to all just exceptions.
3. Issue notice to the Prosecutor General Sindh, Complainant and Investigating Officer. Relist on 05.05.2023.

J U D G E

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH KARACHI

Crl. Bail Application No. 824 of 2023

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGES

Fresh Case

1. For orders on MA No. 4485/2023 (urgent)
2. For orders on MA No. 4464/2023 (exemption)
3. For Hg of Bail Application

14.04.2023:

Mr. Abdul Wahab Bhutto Advocate for the Applicant

.....

1. Granted.
2. Granted subject to all just exceptions.
3. Issue notice to the Law Officer, KW&SB as well as the Prosecutor General Office. Relist on 20.04.2023.

J U D G E

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH KARACHI

Crl. Bail Application No. 722 of 2023

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGES

For Hg of Bail Application

14.04.2023:

Mr. Muhammad Azam Memon Advocate for the Applicant
Mr. Talib Ali Memon, Addl. P.G.

.....

Learned counsel for the Applicant under instructions, does not
press this bail application. Dismissed as not pressed.

J U D G E

Amjad PS

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH KARACHI

Crl. Bail Application No. 703 of 2023

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGES

For Hg of Bail Application

14.04.2023:

Mr. Ameer Bakhsh Metlo, Advocate for the Applicant
Mr. Talib Ali Memon, Addl. P.G.
Muhammad Nawaz Laghari P.S. Sachal
SIP Daria Khan, I.O. P.S. Sachal

.....

Learned Addl. P.G. is not in possession of the police file. He has informed to me that it is very strange that the complainant has copy of the police file, whereas, the prosecution does not have one. Learned counsel for the Applicant has justified presence of certain documents which he has put on record, which prima facie police internal documents by stating that I.O. of the case had given it to the complainant. The I.O. is present and denies that he ever given these papers to the complainant. I have no reasons to believe the complainant, however, as the learned APG is not prepared today as the police file has not been given to him, let it be relisted three weeks after Eid Holidays.

J U D G E