

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR

C. P No. D – 2448 of 2017

Date of hearing	Order with signature of Judge
-----------------	-------------------------------

Hearing of cases

1. For orders on Office objection at Flag 'A'
2. For hearing of main case

29.03.2023

Mr. Alam Sher Bozdar, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Noor Hassan Malik, Assistant Advocate General
<><><><>..<><><><>

ORDER

ZAFAR AHMED RAJPUT, J;- The petitioner, who pursuant to an advertisement, dated 20.05.2012, published by the Education and Literacy Department, Government of Sindh, applied for the post of Primary School Teacher (BPS-09) from Union Council-43 Dadloi, Taluka Pano Akil, District Sukkur, has maintained this petition seeking direction to respondents No.2 to 5 to issue appointment letter to her for the said post claiming that a Seat is lying vacant in the said Union Council for female as per Annexure 'F'.

In response, the respondent No.4 has filed his comments, which reveals that the alleged appointment of Primary School Teachers was based on availability of vacancy at the Union Council level and merit position. The petitioner belonging to Union Council-43 Dadloi and in the said Union Council no post of Primary School Teacher (Female) was lying vacant, hence no female has been appointed in the said Union Council.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that as per Annexure 'F' of memo of the petition a Seat of female candidate for the post of Primary School Teacher is lying vacant in the aforesaid Union Council.

We have gone through the Annexure 'F' of the petition, which is vacancy position of Primary School Teachers in Directorate of School Education, Sukkur Region Sukkur, which allegedly has been issued by one Jai Ram Das, Director School Education, Sukkur Region Sukkur; however, the same bears only his name and not his signature and official seal. While the vacancy position submitted by respondent No.4 with his para wise comments reflects no vacancy in the said Union Council for female and the same is signed by the Chairman and five members of the District Recruitment Committee (DRC), which is more authentic than the unsigned vacancy position submitted by the petitioner with the memo of petition as Annexure 'F'. Even it is an admitted position that under the said advertisement no female candidate has been appointed in the aforementioned Union Council, which supports the claim of the respondents that in fact no vacancy of female Primary School Teacher was lying vacant in the aforesaid Union Council, therefore, the same was not filled by the District Recruitment Committee (DRC), hence, this petition being devoid of merits is dismissed accordingly.

Judge

Judge

ARBROHI