

RECEIVED
03/02/2011
MAGISTRAT

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR

C.P No: D-310 of 2011

Agha Gul Hassan
S/o Agha Mehmood Khan
Pathan By caste,
R/o Beechanji, Taluka lakhi,
District Shikarpur

..... petitioner

Versus

1. Province of Sindh
Through Secretary Local
Government, Sindh Secretariat
Karachi
2. Administrator,
Taluka Municipal Administration
Taluka Rohri, District Sukkur

..... Respondents

CONSTITUTION PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 199 OF
CONSTITUTION OF ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF
PAKISTAN 1973

above most

HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR

C.P.No.D-310 of 2011

1. For hearing of CMA-1652/2011
2. For Katcha Peshi
3. For hearing of CMA-889/2011

24.10.2012

None present for petitioner.

Mr. Agha Ather Hussain, Assistant Advocate General.

Through this petition, petitioner has prayed for following reliefs:

- a) To direct the respondent No.2 to issue the tender form to the petitioner because he has also deposited the CDR of Rs.30,000/-.
- b) To restrain the respondent No.2 to open the tender till the disposal of this petition, because the respondent No.2 has not issued the tender forms to so many Government approved contractors on merit basis, as well as to the petitioner and he had secretly issued the tender forms to some favourable persons on political basis or otherwise.
- c) To direct the respondent No.2 to file the detailed comments that why he had not opened the tender on 11.1.2011, while the said date was fixed to open the tender.
- d) To direct the respondent No.2 to file the details that why he had not issued the tender form to the petitioner while he had deposited the CDR through demand draft in favour of the respondent No.2.
- e) To direct the respondent No.2 to issue the tender form to the petitioner because he has fulfilled all the requirements for issuance of the tender form.
- f) To grant stay order against the respondent No.2 by restraining him to open the tender till the disposal of this petition.
- g) To grant any other relief which this Honourable Court deems fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Respondent No.2 has filed para-wise comments. In comments he categorically stated that petitioner never approached him for issuance of tender form and on 11.1.2012 tenders were opened and work orders were issued to the successful contractors.

ORDER SHEET

2 39

THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR

In view of above, petition is dismissed along with the
listed applications.


JUDGE


JUDGE

N.M.