IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKU

Constitutional

K)

1.

3.

Petition No. 5 %

of 2013

----- Petitioner.

Wajahat Hussain s/o Ahmed Ali bycaste Chang Resident of village Pakka Cang Taluka Faiz Ganj

VERSUS

Mohammad Akram Shar, Taluka Municipal Administrator / Chief Officer, Taluka Municipal Administration FaizGanj, District Khairpur.

 Anwar Ali Manghanhar, Taluka Officer Finance, Taluka Municipal Administration FaizGanj, District Khairpur.

Manager, Sindh Bank Branch Khairpur.

 Manager, National Bank Pakka Chang Branch, District Khairpur.

5. Deputy Director, Anti-Corruption Establishment Sukkur.

 Circle Officer, Anti-Corruption Establishment Khairpur.
Province of Sindh through Secretary Local Government,

Sindh Secretariat Karachi.

-----Respondents.

CONSTITUTION PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 199 OF THE ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF PAKISTAN 1973

The petitioner named above respectfully submits as under:-

ORDER SHEET.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR. C.P.Nos.D- 528, 599 and 612 of 2013.

For Katcha Peshi.

26.2.2013.

 Mr.Farman Ali Kanasiro advocate for petitioners in C.P.Nos.D-528 and 599 of 2013.

Mr.Altaf Hussain Chandio advocate for petitioners in C.P.No.D 612 of 2013.

Respondent No.1 Muhammad Akram Shar TMAA/Chief Officer Fiaz Ganj present.

Mr.Liaquat Ali Shar Addl.A.G.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has placed on record copy of Notice dated 7.3.2012. The perusal of the same would stipulate that the respondent No.1 stood retired on 31.12.2011 and was directed to furnish required documents in the Office as his case of pension be proceeded. Alongwith notice he has also placed on record the letter issued by Nadra, which shows the date of birth of respondent No.1 as 1.1.1962. However, respondent No.1 is present in Court states that he is still in service and has been placed under suspension and one Zulifquar Jatoi has been posted as T.M.O.

Be that as it may since the respondent No.1 is no more posted as TMO Faiz Ganj and one Zulifquar Jatoi has been posted and factual controversies

Scanned with CamScanner



with regard to the retirement or otherwise of respondent No.1 could not be resolved in proceedings, therefore we dispose of these petitions with direction to the petitioners to approach the Anti-Corruption authorities or NAB for the redressal of their grievance.



JUDGE

Akber.

1