## ORDER SHEET

## IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI

CP D 3757 of 2021 CP D 4839 of 2021

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

**Priority** 

- 1. For hearing of CMA No.15627/2021
- 2. For hearing of main case.

## 20.02.2023.

Mr. Jawaid Farooqi, advocate for the petitioner

Rana Sakhawat Ali, advocate for respondent

Qazi Ayazuddin Qureshi, Assistant Attorney General

Mr. G.M. Bhutto, Assistant Attorney General

\*\*\*\*\*

Mr. Faheem Raza advocate files Vakalatnama in CP D 4839 of 2021 on behalf of respondent, which is taken on record.

It appears that the controversy as raised in this petition in respect of a notice issued under Section 25 of the Sales Tax Act, 1990, to conduct audit without assigning reasons was initially decided by a Single Bench of this Court by holding that such a notice is void and illegal and cannot be acted upon vide judgment reported as <a href="2020 PTD 297">2020 PTD 297</a> against which High Court Appeal No.62 of 2020 (<a href="2020 Commissioner I-R Zone-I & another VS M/s. Indus Motor Company Ltd. & others">2020 (Commissioner I-R Zone-I & another VS M/s. Indus Motor Company Ltd. & others</a>) was filed. The said HCA along with a bunch of Constitutional Petitions involving certain allied issues including the question that <a href="whether the Commissioner is required to provide reasons for selecting a person for an audit under section 25 of Sales Tax Act, 1990?">25 of Sales Tax Act, 1990?</a> now stands decided by a learned Division Bench of this Court through judgment dated <a href="20.12.2021">20.12.2021</a> passed in CP D 4729 of <a href="2021">2021 (Wazir Ali Industries Ltd. vs. Federation of Pakistan and others">25</a>, whereby all such notices issued by the Commissioner without assigning reasons have been quashed.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed / disposed of for the same reasons as assigned in the aforesaid judgment dated 20.12.2021. Office is directed to place copy of this order in connected petition.

JUDGE