

**ORDER SHEET**  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI.**

**C.P No. D-545 of 2023**

---

Dated                      Order with signature of Judge.

---

**Hearing of case**

1. For order on CMA No. 5271/2023
2. For hearing of main case.

**27.02.2023.**

Mr. Muhammad Asif, Advocate for the Petitioner

Kazi Abdul Hameed Siddiqui, D.A.G.

Syed Israr Hussain and Hassan, Advocates for the Respondent No.5.

-----

**YOUSUF ALI SAYEED, J.-** The Petitioner had apparently appeared in the Medical and Dental College Admission Test (MDCAT) 2022, with her result showing that she had failed, having obtained only 58 marks out of 200.

Her case is that when she examined her question paper in juxtaposition with the answer key, it came to fore that she ought to have been awarded 194 marks. Hence the matter was brought to the attention of DUHS through the Objection Submitting Form, however no positive result was forthcoming.

As it transpires, the objections forthcoming on the part of DUHS reflects as follows:-

“3. That the contents of Para-05 are partly admitted and partly denied. It is submitted that petitioner approached the Dow University of Health Sciences (DUHS) for resolving her grievance regarding contradiction in her marks results in pursuance of PMC directions. The matter along with other identical matters/ grievances were taken up and was timely and duly resolved accordingly. It is pertinent to mention herein after scrutiny / review, it was found that petitioner claim is not justified and the petitioner result was correctly announced (that is 58 marks) and no discrepancy was found.”

“5. That the contents of Para 07 & 08 are categorically denied for being frivolous, false, incorrect and far from truth. It is submitted that:

a. The answer sheet which is attached by the petitioner at page 21 (as Annexure P6) does not match with original answer sheet in record of DUHS and the petitioner be put to strict proof of genuineness of answer sheet submitted by her before this Honorable court.

b. It is further submitted that the answer sheet submitted by the petitioner before this Honorable Court is fabricated, fraudulent and forged document and such answer sheet does not exist in DUHS record.”

Faced with these objections, learned counsel for the Petitioner was at a loss to advance any cogent argument by way of rebuttal.

Under the given circumstances, the Petition is found to be misconceived, hence while granting the application for urgency we hereby dismiss the same accordingly.

JUDGE

CHIEF JUSTICE