

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

Criminal Appeal No.D-06 of 2022

Appellant: Mujahid Hussain present in person having been produced in custody from Jail.

Respondent: The State through Mr. Muhammad Noonari D.P.G. Sindh.

Date of hearing: 12.10.2022.

Date of Decision: 12.10.2022.

**J U D G M E N T**

**AMJAD ALI SAHITO, J.** Through this Criminal Appeal, the appellant has challenged the judgment dated 15.12.2021, passed by learned 1<sup>st</sup> Additional Sessions Judge/MCTC, Kotri in Special Case No.42 of 2021, Crime No.315 of 2021 registered at PS Kotri for the offence under section 9 (c) CNS Act, 1997, whereby the appellant was convicted and sentenced for the offence u/s 9 (c) CNS Act, 1997 for possessing 1050 grams of charas to undergo R.I. for three years and to pay fine to the tune of Rs.20,000/- [Rupees five hundred thousand only]; in case of default to undergo S.I. for five months more. However, the benefit of section 382-B Cr.P.C. was extended to the appellant.

**2.** Appellant present having been produced in custody from Central Prison, Hyderabad, at the very outset, has stated that he is only bread earner of his family and has remained in Jail for sufficient period and still is being dragged in the instant case; as such, he does not wish to contest this Criminal Appeal and leaves himself at the mercy of the Court. He states that if this Court while maintaining the conviction reduces the sentence to one he has already undergone, he would not press the Criminal Appeal.

3. On the other hand, learned D.P.G. Sindh concedes that the appellant has remained behind the bars for sufficient period and learned the lesson, therefore, he has no objection if a lenient view is taken against him by dismissing the instant Criminal Appeal and treating the sentence to one as already undergone.

4. We have heard the appellant in person, learned D.P.G. for the State and have gone through the record. The witnesses have supported each other on all salient features of the case and there appears to be no worthwhile contradictions. However, the offence pertains to the year 2021. The appellant is behind the bars. The Jail Roll of the appellant was called from the concerned Jail, which reflects that the appellant has served out three years, four months and seven days including remission and there remains only twenty three days to be served out by the appellant. The appellant is sole bread earner of his family and has remained in jail and learned the lesson as he has undergone sufficient period of his sentence. The punishment awarded to the appellant is only three years, therefore, there is no legal impediment in accepting request of the appellant. Only in order to enable the appellant to reform and rehabilitate himself to rejoin the mainstream life to once again become a useful member thereof, by taking leniency, instant Criminal Appeal is dismissed but with the reduction of his sentence to one as already undergone by the appellant including fine amount. In view of the above position, the office is directed to issue a release writ for the appellant if he is not required in any other custody case.

5. Instant Criminal Appeal is **dismissed** with the above modification.

JUDGE

JUDGE