

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI

Present:
Muhammad Junaid Ghaffar, J.
Agha Faisal, J.

C P D 6010 of 2017 : Qayyum Baig & Another vs.
Province of Sindh & Others

For the Petitioners : Mr. Patras Piyara, Advocate

For the Respondents : Mr. Ali Safdar Depar
Assistant Advocate General

Date/s of hearing : 26.09.2022

Date of announcement : 26.09.2022

ORDER

Agha Faisal, J. At the very onset the petitioners' counsel was confronted with respect to maintainability; *inter alia*, as to how relief could be sought in writ jurisdiction with respect to termination of contractual service. Learned counsel remained unable to provide any cogent response.

2. The petitioners are retired members of the armed forces who obtained post retirement contractual service with the respondents. It is an admitted position that such service was never regularized. Vide Order dated 17.08.2017, the respondent terminated the *contractual services* of the petitioners in compliance with the directions of the Supreme Court in Crl. Org. Petition 175 of 2016 / Civil review Petition 193 of 2013. Paragraphs 138 to 144 of the cited judgment appears to be applicable squarely in respect of the circumstances under consideration and the petitioner's counsel has made no endeavor to distinguish the same. Even otherwise writ jurisdiction is not amenable for agitation of contractual disputes.

3. In view hereof, this petition is found to be misconceived, hence, was dismissed, along with pending application/s, vide our short order announced in Court earlier today. These are the reasons for the short order.

JUDGE

JUDGE