

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD**

C.P. No. S-466 of 2022

Mst. Shahida ----- Petitioner

VERSUS

Province of Sindh
& others ----- Respondents

Dates of hearing: 22.08.2022

Date of decision: 22.08.2022

Mr. Ahmed Nawaz Chang, Advocate for Petitioner
Mr. Allah Bachayo Soomro, Addl.A.G.

ORDER

ADNAN-UL-KARIM MEMON, J.- Through instant petition, the petitioner has prayed as under:-

- a. Direct the respondents No. 1 to 6 to appoint an honest and impartial police officer not below the rank of Superintendent of Police to initiate inquiry in respect of false implication of the petitioner party in false criminal cases and if FIRs registered against the petitioner party are found false, such report be submitted in the concerned courts as well as before this Honourable Court.
 - b. Direct the respondents No. 1 to 9 not to register any false FIR against the husband and in laws of the petitioner, without prior permission of this Honourable Court.
 - c. Direct the respondents No. 8 and 9 not to cause any kind of harassment, threat, or humiliation to the petitioner party by themselves or through their subordinates, servants, henchman, etc. in any manner whatsoever.
 - d. Direct the respondents No. 1 to 9 to provide protection in accordance with law to the petitioner and his relatives and ensure the security of the life and property of the petitioner party.
2. Mr. Ahmed Nawaz Chang learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the father-in-law of the petitioner, owned a plot No. 614 measuring 2500 sq.ft

situated in village Husri Taluka and District Hyderabad and after his death the said plot is in possession of her husband and his brothers; that respondents No. 10 to 14 being influential person compelled them to sale their plot which they refused, hence on his instigation his henchman in collusion with police officials implicated the petitioner and his brothers in series of FIR as mentioned in the memo of petition and out of which in several FIR his husband has been acquitted and in order to save himself the petitioner party filed Cr. Misc. Application under Section 22-A & B Cr.P.C. for protection which was granted but inspite of that the police officials at the behst of private respondents are implicating the petitioner in false cases; therefore, he has filed the instant petition with the above prayer.

3. Primarily, the dispute has been alleged between private parties concerning certain allegations about breach of legal or moral duties; and in this background, it has been alleged that the private party at fault is harassing the petitioner with the help of police officials and instead of taking action against the wrongdoers, the police is supporting them and lodged false cases against the petitioner.

4. Petitioner also alleging harassment against the police officials. At this stage, learned AAG has submitted that the police shall ensure that no harassment is caused to the petitioner, learned counsel is satisfied with the statement of learned AAG and seeks disposal of the instant petition in terms thereof.

5. In view of the above and by consent this petition is disposed of with the understanding that police officials shall be neutral if there arises any dispute between the private parties and shall act under the law.

JUDGE