

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD**

**C.P. No. 3- 132 of 2022**

Mst. Taj Bibi	-----	Petitioner
VERSUS		
I.G. Sindh and others	-----	Respondents

**Date of hearing: 22.08.2022**  
**Date of decision: 22.08.2022**

None present for petitioner.  
Mr. Allah Bachayo Soomro, Addl.A.G.

**ORDER**

**ADNAN-UL-KARIM MEMON, J-.** Through the instant petition, the petitioner has sought direction to respondents 1 and 2 to look into the matter personally and direct respondent No.4 through respondent No.3 not to cause harassment to her and her family members; that respondents 4 and 5 so also their subordinates may be directed not to conduct illegal raids at the house of the petitioner and other villagers on the instigation of private persons.

A perusal of record reflects that after filing the instant petition on 19.2.2022 neither the petitioner nor her counsel even appear before the office to comply with the office objection. However, on 27.5.2022 when the matter was fixed before the court, the office's objections were overruled and the office was directed to issue notices to respondents as well as learned AAG, A.P.G. as well as special prosecutor ANF, but despite that, neither the petitioner nor her counsel turned up to pay cost and supply copies for issuance of notices. On 15.8.2022 again as an indulgence, one week was granted due to the non-appearance of the petitioner and her counsel as for compliance of office objection.

Today also neither the petitioner nor his counsel is in attendance which shows her lack of interest in the present petition, therefore, the instant petition is disposed of with a direction to the official respondents to act strictly under the law, however, if any cognizable offense is committed, they shall take legal course as provided under the law. Besides, the official respondents are duty bound to provide legal protection to every citizen being their fundamental right

JUDGE