ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI CP No.D-1995 of 2018 a/w CP Nos.D-1996/2018, 1997/2018, 1998/2018, 2157/2018, 2158/2018, 2159/2018, 2160/2018 & 2161/2018

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE(S)

<u>23.11.2021</u>

Mr. Danyal Muzaffar, Advocate for the petitioner a/w Ms. Mamuna Nasreen, Advocate Mr. Khalid Rajpar, Advocate for respondent No.1 alongwith Mr. Muhammad Bilal Bhatti, Advocate Mr. Kafil Ahmed Abbasi, DAG a/w Mr. Hussain Bohra, Asstt. Attorney General -0-0-0-

We have been informed by Mr. Rajpar that an identical petition i.e CP No.D-1994 of 2018 independently fixed before this court was disposed of by consent having same controversy as involved in this bunch of petitions. Hence, he seeks disposal of these petitions in the same terms. Relevant part of the consent order passed in the identical petition is reproduced hereinbelow:

"2-5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as far as the subject FIR is concerned, though this Bench has decided several other cases including CP No.1083 of 2020 (Syed Jawad Arshad vs. Federation of Pakistan and others), however, they have already been acquitted by the concerned court insofar as the subject FIR is concerned.

Mr. Khalid Rajpar, learned counsel for respondent submits that the only impediment before adjudication department is that this lis is pending where interim order is operating and Mr. Danyal Muzaffar, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that they are ready to face the proceedings before the adjudication department to proceed strictly in accordance with law, hence, with the consent of the counsel, since the substantive grievance of the petitioner has also been set at rest, let the department proceed, as far as the adjudication is concerned, strictly in accordance with law with notice to the petitioner.

The instant petition is disposed of in the above terms alongwith pending applications.

Mr. Khalid Rajpar, learned counsel for respondent has informed that there are other connected matters which involve same controversy, such, as CP Nos.D-1995 to 1998 of 2018 and CP Nos.D-2157 to 2161 of 2018. Let all these connected petitions be fixed after a week for appropriate orders." Learned counsel for the petitioner concedes that these petition may also be disposed of with the same conclusion drawn in the referred petition as the department was allowed to proceed in accordance with law as far as adjudication is concerned.

In view of the above, by consent, these petitions are disposed of in terms of the conclusion drawn in the referred petition alongwith pending applications.

JUDGE

J U D G E