

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT,
HYDERABAD.

C. P. No. D — 710 of 2016.

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE[S]
------	----------------------------------

18.05.2022.

FOR HEARING OF MAIN CASE.

Mr. Muhammad Saleem Hashmi Qureshi Advocate for petitioner.

Barrister Jawad Ahmed Qureshi & Mr. Osama Yousuf Parhyar Advocates files Vakalatnama on behalf of legal heirs of respondent No.4; taken on record.

Mr. Allah Bachayo Soomro Additional A.G. Sindh.

Mr. Rafique Ahmed Dahri A.A.G. Sindh.

Precisely the petitioner has raised grievance that the original revenue entry in the record of rights in the name of the petitioner in respect of property No.1 be restored.

It appears that the parties are litigating over their ancestral property and it is claimed that the father's entry, in relation to which this petition was filed is not in disputed. We are afraid that such questions as to the entitlement of these private parties in relation to property in question either being inherited or otherwise could not be adjudicated in proceedings under Article 199. The best forum for the resolution of such grievances is the original civil jurisdiction and the petitioner may exhaust their remedy as available to them under the law. In case any such proceedings before a forum having jurisdiction is attempted to be exhausted, an application under section 14 of the Limitation Act may be filed for the considerations of the court.

The petition being misconceived is accordingly dismissed.

JUDGE

JUDGE

A.