Criminal Revision Application No.S-85 of 2021

DATE		ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
	1.	For orders on office objections.
	2.	For hearing of main case.
	3.	For hearing of M.A. No.4329/2021.
		-

#### <u>29.04.2022</u>

Mr. Farhan Ahmed Bozdar, Advocate for the applicants. Mr. Shewak Rathore, D.P.G for the State.

The facts in brief necessary for disposal of instant criminal revision application are that the applicants allegedly committed death of pregnant elk for that they were booked accordingly. At trial, they sought for their pre-mature acquittal by making such application, it was dismissed by learned IVth Additional Sessions Judge, Dadu vide order dated 22.05.2021 which is impugned by the applicants before this Court by preferring the instant revision application.

It is contended by learned counsel for the applicants that the applicants being innocent have been involved in this case falsely and there would be no probability of their conviction even after full dressed trial. By contending so, he sought for setting aside of the impugned order with acquittal of the applicants.

Learned Deputy Prosecutor General, Sindh who is assisted by the complainant by supporting the impugned order has sought for dismissal of instant revision application by contending that there is every possibility of the conviction of the applicants with the offence with which they are charged.

Heard arguments and perused the record.

The applicants have been booked for the alleged incident, on the basis of video recording; it takes supports from all ancillary evidence. In that situation, it would be pre-mature to say that the applicants being innocent have been involved in this case falsely by the complainant. No doubt, pre-mature acquittal of the accused involved in incident is permissible at law but it could not be recorded by denying the right of fair trial to the complainant/prosecution, which apparently is guaranteed under Article 10-A of the constitution of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973. No wrong has been committed by learned Trial Court by dismissing the application of the applicants for their pre-mature acquittal, which may justify this Court to make interference with the impugned order.

In view of above, the instant revision application is dismissed.

Muhammad Danish\*

#### JUDGE

Criminal Appeal No.S-259 of 2019

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE For orders on M.A.No.4304/2022

#### <u>29.04.2022</u>

Rao Faisal Ali, Advocate holds brief for Mr. Farhan Ahmed Bozdar, Advocate for the appellant.

Urgency granted. To be fixed after four weeks.

### JUDGE

Criminal Revision Application No.S-17 of 2022

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
	For orders on M.A.No.4318/2022

<u>29.04.2022</u>

Rao Faisal Ali, Advocate for the applicant.

Urgency granted. To be fixed after two weeks.

JUDGE

Criminal Appeal No.S-54 of 2022

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE For orders on M.A.No.4306/2022

<u>29.04.2022</u>

Mr. Muhammad Imran Arain, Advocate for the appellants.

Urgency granted. To be fixed after two weeks.

JUDGE

Criminal Appeal No.S-157 of 2022

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE For orders on M.A.No.4302/2022

<u>29.04.2022</u>

Mr. Mumtaz Alam Laghari, Advocate for the appellants.

Urgency granted. To be fixed after two weeks.

JUDGE

Criminal Appeal No.S-158 of 2022

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE For orders on M.A.No.4303/2022

<u>29.04.2022</u>

Mr. Mumtaz Alam Laghari, Advocate for the appellant.

Urgency granted. To be fixed after two weeks.

JUDGE

#### Criminal Miscellaneous Application No.S-275 of 2022

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
	For orders on M.A.No.4310/2022

#### <u>29.04.2022</u>

Mr. Ishrat Ali Lohar, Advocate for the applicants.

Urgency granted. Repeat notice against the respondents and learned Additional Prosecutor General, Sindh. In the meanwhile, till next date of hearing the operation of impugned order dated 21.03.2022 is suspended.

To be fixed after three weeks.

JUDGE

#### Criminal Appeal No.S-65 of 2022

DATE		ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
	1.	For orders on M.A.No.4351/2022.
	2.	For orders on office objections.
	3.	For hearing of main case.
	4.	For orders on M.A.No.4352/2022.

#### <u>29.04.2022</u>

Mian Taj Muhammad Keerio, Advocate for the appellants.

- 1. Urgency granted.
- 2. To be complied with within three days.
- 3. Let it be admitted for regular hearing register. Call R&Ps and paper book be

prepared on the costs of appellants.

4. To be fixed after three weeks.

JUDGE

C.P. No.S-401of 2022

DATE		ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
	1.	For orders on M.A.No.1116/2022.
	2.	For orders on office objections.
	3.	For orders on M.A. No.1117/2022.
	4.	For hearing of main case.
		<b>U</b>

#### <u>29.04.2022</u>

Mr. Amjad Hussain Shar, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Urgency granted.

==

2to4. The petitioner by way of instant petition has sought for protection from harassment of the private respondents, which has already been granted in his favour by learned IIIrd Additional Sessions Judge/Ex-Officio Justice of Peace, Hyderabad. No further order for same relief is called for by this Court by way of instant petition, it is dismissed in *limine* together with listed application.

### JUDGE