

simpliciter termination, however the same is with stigma; that no opportunity of hearing has been provided to him while taking the impugned action, which violates the principles of natural justice. Learned counsel cited various provisions of the Memorandum of Associations of SSGC, Public Sector Companies (Corporate Governance) Rules, Performance Management Policy of SSGC, and other documents/orders of the Superior Court to substantiate his claim on the aforesaid proposition. Learned counsel also pointed out that no disciplinary proceedings were/are pending against him; and, his superannuation date is due on 06.7.2022; that Petitioner being eligible in all respect is entitled to completion of his tenure of service; that the impugned termination order is violative of section 24-A of the General Clause Act; that the impugned order does not reflect any reason thereof; that the petitioner was not at fault when he was ordered to be terminated/relieved from the aforesaid post. He lastly prays for notice to the respondents and seeks suspension of the impugned order dated 29.7.2021 issued by the respondent-SSGCL.

To appreciate as to whether termination of the service of the petitioner required the process of issuing show cause notice, holding of regular inquiry and passing of proper order by the competent authority, after granting him a personal hearing; and, or was a termination simpliciter, not requiring the above process?

Notice to the respondents as well as DAG for **28.9.2021**. Meanwhile, the operation of the impugned letter dated 29.7.2021 shall remain suspended.

J U D G E

J U D G E

Nadir