

ORDER SHEET

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT,
HYDERABAD**

Criminal Revision Application No.S-86of 2021

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
	1. For orders on office objections. 2. For hearing of main case. 3. For hearing of M.A. No.4331/2021.

12.07.2021

Mr. Hameedullah Dahri, Advocate for the appellant.
Ms. Rameshan, A.P.G for the State.
Mr. Karamullah Memon, Advocate for the complainant.

==

The applicant for an offence punishable under section 489-F P.P.C has been convicted and sentenced to undergo simple imprisonment for two years and to pay fine of Rs.20,000/-and in default to undergo simple imprisonment for one month with benefit of section 382-(b) Cr.P.C by learned Civil Judge & Judicial Magistrate, Khiprovide his Judgment dated 17.04.2021, which was impugned by the applicant by preferring an appeal it is also been dismissed by learned Additional Sessions Judge Khipro vide his judgment dated 25th May 2015, which is impugned by the applicant before this Court by preferring the instant revision application and in the meanwhile, by way of listed application under section 426 Cr.P.C., has sought for his release on bail pending disposal of his revision application.

2. It is contended by learned counsel for the applicant that the sentence is short one and hearing of his revision is likely to take time. By contending so, he sought for release of the applicant on bail pending disposal of his revision application.

3. Learned A.P.G for the State has recorded no objection to release of the applicant however learned counsel for the complainant has objected to release of the applicant on bail by contending that the applicant was convicted on the basis of his admission to guilt.

4. I have considered the above arguments and perused the record.

5. The conviction and sentence awarded to the applicant are short one and hearing of his revision application because of heavy pendency, obviously would take time. In these circumstances; a case for release of the applicant on bail pending disposal of his revision application obviously is made out.

6. In view of above, by suspending the operation of impugned judgments legality whereof requires its examination and while relying upon case of *Abdul Hameed Vs. Muhammad Abdullah and others (1999 SCMR 2589)* the applicant is admitted to bail subject to his furnishing surety in sum of Rs.30,000/- and P.R bond in the like amount to the satisfaction of Additional Registrar of this Court.

7. The listed application is disposed of accordingly.

JUDGE

Muhammad Danish Steno*