

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, BENCH AT SUKKUR
CP No. D-414 of 2019

Date of hearing	Order with signature of Judge.
-----------------	--------------------------------

Hearing of Case(Priority)

1. For orders on CMA No.3977/21
2. For orders on CMA No.3978/21
3. For orders on CMA No.3951/21.
4. For orders on CMA No.3952/21.
5. For orders on CMA No.3953/21,
6. For orders on CMA No.3947/21
7. For orders on CMA No.3948/21
8. For orders on CMA No.3949/21.
9. For orders on CMA No.3821/21.
- 10.For orders on CMA No.3822/21.
- 11.For orders on CMA No.2663/21.
12. For orders on CMA No.3677/21.
13. For orders on CMA No.3346/21.
14. For orders on CMA No.3347/21.
- 15.For orders on CMA No.3419/21.
16. For orders on CMA No.3420/21.
- 17.For orders on CMA No.3417/21.
- 18.For orders on CMA No.3418/21.
- 19.For orders on CMA No.3509/21.
- 20.For orders on CMA No.3510/21.
- 21.For orders on CMA No.3514/21.
22. For orders on CMA No.3515/21.
- 23.For orders on CMA No.3520/21.
- 24.For orders on CMA No.3521/21.
- 25.For orders on CMA No.3676/21.
- 26.For hearing of CMA No.1557/2019
- 27.For hearing of main case

10-11-2021

None for the Petitioner.

M/s Zulfiqar Ali Naich, Assistant A.G and Muhammad Hamzo Buriro, Deputy Attorney General.

Through this Petition the petitioner seeks directions against Respondents No.2 to 4 to cancel impugned allotment order dated 22.5.2018 issued in favor of Respondent No.5 with further directions to restore the earlier allotment order dated 19.6.2015 issued in the name of the petitioner. It is an admitted position that at the time of filing of this petition the possession had already been taken over from the petitioner and given to Respondent No.5.

Thereafter the petition was not seriously pursued. However, suddenly on 05.11.2020, this Petition came with some other bunch of Petitions along with CP Nos.D-916 of 2017, D-1107, 1112, to 1118, 1140, 1143 to 1151 of 2020 which were also in respect of allotment and cancellation of official accommodation to Government Servants. Thereafter, various orders have been passed in these set of petitions, wherein various directions have been issued. These directions have been

mostly general in nature regarding the alleged illegal occupation of these accommodations and in fact have also been complied with by the Respondents from time to time. It further appears that from 10.2.2021 onwards insofar as other connected petitions are concerned, most of them have already served their purposes and have been disposed of; whereas some have been withdrawn, and lastly, this petition was fixed along with CP No.916 of 2017, D-1107 of 2021, whereas, CP No.D-916 of 2017 was already disposed of vide order 28.3.2018 but for some unexplained reasons it was being fixed together with other petitions. Insofar as CP No.625 of 2021 is concerned, the stands withdrawn vide order dated 13.10.2021 by way of the following order;

13-10-2021

Mr. Nisar Ahmed Bhanbhro Advocate for the Petitioner.
Mr. Ahmed Ali Shahani, Assistant A.G.

Counsel for the Petitioner submits that grievance of the Petitioner stands redressed inasmuch as sealing of shops in question and further action was recalled by this Court vide order dated 29.04.2021 with the condition that the Petitioner would be treated as tenant till final decision of the Civil Suits, pending before the Court having jurisdiction. He submits that the Suits of the Government stand decided by rejection of plaints, hence this petition has served its purpose and under instructions, same is not being pressed.

Accordingly, this petition stands dismissed as not pressed with pending applications; whereas, the Petitioner, if further aggrieved, may seek appropriate remedy in accordance with law.

As to the present petition none is appearing and despite this the Court has been passing orders on each date, whereas, all connected petitions have been disposed of one way or the other, therefore, while disposing of this petition with pending applications it is directed to be consigned to record; whereas official Respondents and other agencies to whom directions have been issued from time to time shall make compliance of such orders. If any person is further aggrieved, he may seek appropriate remedy, as may be available to him in accordance with law.

JUDGE

JUDGE