IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI

Before: Ahmed Ali M. Shaikh, CJ Yousuf Ali Sayeed, J

CP No.D-6530 of 2021

For orders as to the maintainability of the petition.

01.12.2021

Mr. Manzoor Hussain Khoso, Advocate for the petitioner.

ORDER

<u>AHMED ALI M. SHAIKH, CJ</u>.- Petitioner by invoking the Constitutional Jurisdiction of this Court seeks relief in vague and inconclusive terms, as follows:-

"To direct the Respondents No.1 to 5 to verify the real facts in the matter of malpractice, corruption and violation in order to avoid further violation, corruption and malpractice and injustice with the general public traveling by train from Karachi to Upward Country."

- 2. Briefly, facts of the case as pleaded in the Petition are that the Pakistan Railways has outsourced the Commercial Management of Luggage Vans and Brake Vans in different trains and awarded contract through yearly bid system to the Respondents No.6 to 12. Grievance of the petitioner is that against the capacity of 10 tons, the Respondents No.6 to 12 are loading the luggage upto 16 to 17 tons causing loss of millions of rupees to the national exchequer. Additionally, the said private Respondents are refusing to book the luggage of passengers in the mail and express trains while the administration of the Pakistan Railways is allegedly encouraging the illegal practice.
- 3. We have heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner, gone through the material available on record. During course of arguments, learned counsel could not advance any cogent objection as to the award of the contract or acceptance of the bids of the Respondents No.6 to 12 by the Pakistan Railways and failed to show as to how his fundamental rights guaranteed in the Constitution were being violated. Furthermore,

as the very prayer is for the Respondents No.1 to 5, who are functionaries of Pakistan Railways, to themselves probe the matter, the petitioner may approach them with such material as may be available in support of his contention. In wake of above, the petition merits no consideration and is accordingly dismissed.

Chief Justice

Judge