

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI
C.P. No.D-6161 of 2021
Muhammad Hussain Memon & others..Vs.. Federation of Pakistan & others

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE(S) OF JUDGE(S)

*BEFORE: Justice Irfan Saadat Khan,
Justice Agha Faisal, JJ*

1. For hearing of CMA No.26096/2021
2. For hearing of Main Case

11.11.2021

Mr. Muhammad Yasir, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Mr. Aamir Latif, Advocate for the Respondents No.2&3.
Mr. Muhammad Nishat Warsi, D.A.G.

-.-.-.-.-

Mr. Aamir Latif, Advocate files Vakalatnama and statement on behalf of the NBP, which are taken on record. Such statement is reproduced herewith:-

“By and on behalf of the respondent M/s.National Bank of Pakistan, it is respectfully submitted that without prejudice to the incorrectness of the allegations of pressure, coercion or discrimination, maintainability of the petition, it is respectfully submitted as under:-

1. That the Petitioners who have not opted for compromise/agreement/discharge in favour of NBP, are covered by the MOT Scheme and are alike / identical to the Respondents in C.A. No.1644/2013 shall be extended the same benefits as per judgment dated 21.09.2016 passed by the Apex Court in C.A. No.1644/2013.
2. It is further submitted that the arrears shall be paid in 03 installments instead of 06 installments as directed by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in the judgment referred to hereinabove.
3. In addition to the above, it is further submitted that the final installments shall be made with the salary of February, 2022.
4. It is also submitted that if any Petitioner feels any grievance in respect of his fixation may make representation to the competent authority of the Bank through proper channel for the redressal thereof.

This petition may be disposed of in terms of the above statement”.

In view of the statement filed on behalf of the NBP, instant petition is disposed of with regard to promotion of petitioner that he would be at liberty to submit representation with concerned authority that shall decide the fate of that representation in light of the judgment passed by the apex court in Civil Appeal No.3435/2018.

JUDGE

JUDGE

SM

