ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

C.P.No.D-629 of 2011

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

1. For hearing of MA-4187/15

2. For orders on MA-3574/19

<u>12.12.2019</u>.

Mr. Muhammad Sulleman Unar, advocate for petitioner. Mr. Muhammad Ismail Bhutto, Additional Advocate General. =

The facts in brief necessary for disposal of the instant contempt application are that the petitioner sought for his appointment on deceased quota on account of death of her mother during service, by way of filing a petition before this Court. It was disposed of on 24.12.2014 impliedly with a direction to Secretary Education to pass appropriate order on application of the petitioner. Consequently, the petitioner by way of instant contempt application has sought for prosecution of the Secretary & Section Officer Education for having disobeyed the order of this Court. On being asked what kind of disobedience is shown by the alleged contemnors? It is stated by learned counsel for the petitioner that they have failed to appoint the petitioner on deceased quota. No such direction was issued by this Court. However, as per comments filed on behalf of Secretary Education, the application of the petitioner was entertained and it was disposed of with the observation that he could not be appointed against deceased quota as his mother has died on 26.06.1999, it was much before enactment of the policy for appointment of the sons of the deceased employees, which was enacted on 02.09.2002. In the said circumstances, no case for initiating the contempt proceedings against the alleged contemnors for having shown disobedience to the order of this Court is made out. Consequently, the instant contempt application is dismissed along with the listed application.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Ahmed/Pa,