

**ORDER SHEET  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI**

|      |                                  |
|------|----------------------------------|
| Date | Order with Signature of Judge(s) |
|------|----------------------------------|

**Present:**  
**Mr. Justice Muhammad Ali Mazhar**  
**Mr. Justice Agha Faisal**

C .P. No.D-6800 of 2019

Ikram Mehmood Qureshi & Others.....Petitioners

Versus

National Tariff Commission & Another.....Respondents

C .P. No.D-6820 of 2019

The Times Press (Pvt.) Limited & Others.....Petitioners

Versus

National Tariff Commission & Another.....Respondents

**Date of hearing: 01.11.2019**

Mr. Altamash Faisal Arab, advocate for the petitioners.  
Mr. Ishrat Zahid Alvi, Assistant Attorney General.

-----

**Muhammad Ali Mazhar, J.:** In both the petitions the respondent No.1 is National Tariff Commission, whereas, the respondent No.2 is Anti-Dumping Appellate Tribunal. C.P. No.D-6800 of 2019 reflects that 24 petitioners have challenged the final determination issued by the National Tariff Commission through their appeal before the Tribunal. Whereas, in connected C.P. No.D-6820 of 2019, 14 petitioners have challenged the final determination issued by the National Tariff Commission and their appeals are also pending before the Anti-Dumping Appellate Tribunal, Islamabad since 19.03.2018.

2. Today Mr. Ahmed Sheeraz, advocate appeared on behalf of the respondent No.1 and he undertakes to file the Vakalatnama during course of the day. He further submitted that the Anti-Dumping Appellate Tribunal, Islamabad, is functional and further admits that the appeals of the petitioners are pending before the Tribunal.

3. Earlier we had also disposed of the similar nature petitions with some directions to the learned Anti-Dumping Tribunal. These petitions alongwith pending applications are also disposed of with directions to the learned Anti-Dumping Tribunal to decide the pending appeals of the petitioners preferably within the period of 30 days. Till the decision of the appeals, the respondent No.1 shall not take any coercive action against the petitioners.

JUDGE

JUDGE