DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

1. For orders on office objection
2. For hearing of main case.

21.10.2019.

Mr.Ishrat Ali Lohar, advocate for petitioners.
Mr. Allah Bachayo Soomro, A.A.G.
        =

The petitioners by way of instant petition have challenged the notices dated 10.02.2014 and 18.02.2014, which have been issued against them by Mukhtiarkar Umerkot, calling upon them to produce original registered sale deed, whereby they have acquired the right of ownership over the subject property.

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners are lawful owners of the subject property and they have been served with the notices by Mukhtiarkar Umerkot with ulterior motives in order to deprive their ownership over the subject property which they have acquired through registered sale deed.

Learned A.A.G has sought for dismissal of instant petition by contending that no factual controversy could be resolved by this Court in exercise of its constitutional jurisdiction.

We have considered the above arguments and perused the record.

By way of impugned notices, the petitioners have been called upon by Mukhtiarkar Umerkot to produce the documents of ownership which they are having over the subject property. By

issuing such notices, no wrong has been done by the Mukhtiarkar Umerkot which may be declared by this Court to be void or illegal. If, it is believed that the petitioners are carrying a feeling that the subject notices have been issued against them by the Mukhtiarkar Umerkot with ulterior motives in order to deprive them of their ownership over the subject property then declaration of ownership involving the factual controversy could not be resolved by this Court in exercise of its constitutional jurisdiction.

The instant petition being misconceived is dismissed accordingly. The petitioners either to contest the notices before Mukhtiarkar Umerkot or to challenge the same before the Civil Court having jurisdiction, if they are advised so.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Ahmed/Pa,